

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
CASE NO. 24-MJ-08441-RMM-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

West Palm Beach, Florida
September 23, 2024

vs.

RYAN WESLEY ROUTH,

Defendant(s). Pages 1 - 130

DETENTION HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE RYON M. MCCABE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: MARK DISPOTO
ADAM MCMICHAEL
United States Attorney's Office
500 S. Australian Avenue
Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
mark.dispoto@usdoj.gov
adam.mcmichael@usdoj.gov

FOR THE DEFENDANT(S): KRISTY MILITELLO
Federal Public Defender's Office
250 S. Australian Avenue
Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
kristy_militello@fd.org

RENEE SIHVOLA
Federal Public Defender's Office
109 North 2nd Street
Fort Pierce, FL 34950
renee_sihvola@fd.org

REPORTED BY: JILL M. WELLS, RMR, CRR, CSR
Federal Official Court Reporter
701 Clematis Street
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
jill_wells@flsd.uscourts.gov

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Examination of:	
CHRISTIAN HULL	
Government Proffer	by Mr. Dispoto
Cross	by Ms. Sihvola
Redirect	by Mr. Dispoto

E X H I B I T S

Government Exhibits 1 through 7	111
Defense Exhibit 1	113

1 (Case called to order of the court at 11:02 a.m.)

2 THE COURT: Before we begin, I have some preliminary
3 announcements. The first is that once this hearing has begun,
4 no one will be allowed to leave the room until we are done
5 except for an emergency, medical reason.

6 Next, our local rules prohibit all forms of
7 photography, as well as audio recording, as well as
8 broadcasting. That includes contemporaneous tweeting or
9 texting.

10 In an abundance of caution, we are going to prohibit
11 all note taking other than with paper.

12 So let's have appearances, please. I am calling the
13 case of United States of America v. Routh, 24-MJ-8441.

14 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, good morning.

15 Mark Dispoto, appearing on behalf of the
16 United States. Present with me at counsel table is Assistant
17 United States Attorney Adam McMichael, and off to the far
18 right, Special Agent Christian Hull, from the FBI.

19 THE COURT: All right. Good morning.

20 Defense.

21 MS. MILITELLO: Good morning.

22 Kristy Militello and Renee Sihvola on behalf of Ryan
23 Rough.

24 Your Honor did pronounce his name correctly. It is
25 Routh.

1 THE COURT: We are here for a pretrial detention
2 hearing.

3 Are we ready to proceed?

4 MR. DISPOTO: We are, your Honor.

5 MS. MILITELLO: Yes, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Okay. At this time, then, I am going to
7 take judicial notice of the Pretrial Services report, as well
8 as the criminal complaint, as well as the affidavit attached to
9 the criminal complaint.

10 Government, how would you like to proceed?

11 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, we would like to proceed by
12 proffer. We have a witness available for cross-examination, if
13 necessary.

14 THE COURT: Go ahead.

15 MR. DISPOTO: Thank you, Judge. Good morning again,
16 your Honor.

17 As the court is aware, the defendant in this case has
18 been charged by a criminal complaint with the unlawful
19 possession of a firearm by a convicted felon and possession of
20 a firearm with an obliterated serial number, all under Title
21 18, United States Code, Section 922.

22 The penalties for the offense charged in the criminal
23 complaint total a maximum of 20 years' imprisonment. The
24 anticipated guideline range, without reference to any
25 applicable cross-references for the charged offense, is 70 to

1 87 months.

2 We have moved for pretrial detention based on risk of
3 flight and danger to the community, all under Title 18, United
4 States Code, Section 3142(f)(1)(E), because the charged offense
5 involves a firearm, and 3142(f)(2)(A), serious risk of flight,
6 and 3142(f)(2)(B), serious risk that the defendant will
7 threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten,
8 injure, or intimidate a prospective witness.

9 The government has filed a written factual proffer in
10 support of the detention at Docket Entry 14 both based on risk
11 of flight and danger to the community.

12 The United States submits that the factual proffer,
13 which we are prepared to provide orally here today, will
14 provide the court with probable cause to support additional
15 charges that can and should be considered by the court.

16 The court has the ability to receive and consider
17 additional facts and defenses beyond those which are contained
18 in the criminal complaint under Section 3142(f)(1).

19 The government will demonstrate, through its written
20 and oral factual proffer, that there is probable cause to
21 believe that the defendant attempted to assassinate a major
22 political candidate, specifically, former President of the
23 United States Donald J. Trump, in violation of Title 18, United
24 States Code, Section 351, a felony with a maximum sentence
25 punishable by life imprisonment.

1 Your Honor, I want to be clear, the United States
2 intends to present evidence of this case to a grand jury, and
3 among the charges that we will ask the grand jury to consider
4 includes a charge that the defendant attempted to assassinate
5 former President Trump, in violation of Title 18, United States
6 Code, Section 351.

7 With both the charged offenses and probable cause to
8 support the additional uncharged offense, the United States'
9 motion is based now upon the following sections under Title 18,
10 United States Code, 3142(f)(1)(A), an offense that involved a
11 crime of violence; 3142(f)(1)(B), an offense for which the
12 maximum sentence is life imprisonment; and 3142(f)(2)(E), any
13 felony that involves a firearm.

14 THE COURT: Let me stop you.

15 Does the statute allow me to consider those things
16 even if they are not charged at the present time?

17 MR. DISPOTO: Yes, Judge. If you look at the Bail
18 Reform Act, specifically at 3142(f), it talks about the bases
19 for detention, and, specifically, the language in the statute
20 is a case that involves a crime of violence, offense for which
21 the maximum sentence is life imprisonment, and so forth.

22 It does not --

23 THE COURT: So your view is that I can find that it's
24 a case that involves even though it's currently not charged?

25 MR. DISPOTO: That is correct, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

2 MR. DISPOTO: Lastly, Judge, as to the law.

3 The United States acknowledges its burden today. The
4 government must show by a preponderance of the evidence that
5 the defendant is a risk of flight and by clear and convincing
6 evidence that the defendant poses a danger to the community.

7 For housekeeping, the United States has prepared
8 several exhibits in support of its motion today, which we filed
9 with the court. We have provided copies to defense counsel.

10 We would move those exhibits into evidence solely for
11 the purpose of this hearing, and that would be Exhibits 1
12 through 7.

13 THE COURT: All right. Can I have a copy of those,
14 please?

15 Defense, do you have any objection to those exhibits?

16 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, at this time, assuming that
17 the witness that they have brought into court today can testify
18 to these exhibits in terms of authenticity or his knowledge, or
19 her knowledge, of them and their relevance, then we would not
20 have any objection to them.

21 THE COURT: Do you plan to question the witness about
22 that when you get the chance?

23 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes, your Honor, I would. And if, for
24 some reason, upon the questioning that the witness does not see
25 their relevance and/or has no knowledge of them whatsoever,

1 then we would have an objection.

2 THE COURT: I am going to admit them on a preliminary
3 basis subject to the witness testifying following the proffer.

4 You can proceed.

5 MR. DISPOTO: Thank you, Judge.

6 Your Honor, by way of background, the Trump
7 International Golf Club is located here in West Palm Beach,
8 within Palm Beach County, in the Southern District of Florida.
9 The golf course is boarded on the south by Summit Boulevard and
10 on the east by Congress Avenue. The relevant events that gave
11 rise to the defendant's arrest took place on the southeast
12 corner of the property.

13 Could we show Exhibit 1, please.

14 The perimeter of Trump International, including the
15 area along Summit Boulevard, is surrounded by an unsecured wire
16 chain link fence less than 6 feet high.

17 And, your Honor, as you are looking at
18 Government Exhibit 1, the roadway that runs along the bottom of
19 Government Exhibit 1 is Summit Boulevard, and the roadway to
20 the right of the photo that runs vertical is Congress Avenue.

21 On the south side of the golf course, along Summit
22 Boulevard, tall trees and thick vegetation run along the street
23 side of the fence. On the golf course side of the fence a dirt
24 path aligned with leaves and light vegetation runs along the
25 fence. A small row of bushes and other light vegetation runs

1 approximately 15 to 20 feet from the fence, followed by the
2 golf course itself.

3 On September 15 of 2024, former President Donald J.
4 Trump was golfing at Trump International. As the former
5 President advanced the course, a Secret Service agent, who was
6 part of the overall protective detail, moved along -- I'm
7 sorry, moved ahead of him in a golf cart and conducted a
8 security sweep to identify any potential threats and to ensure
9 the safety and security of the former President along the
10 route.

11 While the former President was on the fifth hole --
12 and I would direct the court's attention to the top of
13 Government Exhibit 1 that shows the fifth hole. The tee box to
14 the putting green runs horizontal in an easterly direction
15 towards Congress Avenue.

16 While the former --

17 THE COURT: On the exhibit, the number 5 is where the
18 tee box is?

19 MR. DISPOTO: Correct.

20 THE COURT: Got it.

21 MR. DISPOTO: And the sixth tee box, as you can see,
22 is also so designated next to -- on the northeast corner of the
23 course.

24 While the former President was on the fifth hole, the
25 agent cleared the area along the sixth hole, which ran south,

1 along Congress Avenue, and then west, along Summit Boulevard,
2 at the bottom of the screen.

3 Specifically, the agent rode in a golf cart along the
4 chain link fence that separated the sixth hole from Congress
5 Avenue and Summit Boulevard.

6 Can we zoom in a little bit on the putting green on
7 the sixth hole, please.

8 As the agent traveled south along the fence that
9 borders Congress Avenue, he turned right and traveled along the
10 dirt path next to the fence that borders Summit Boulevard.

11 As he proceeded west along the fence, at approximately
12 1:30 p.m., the agent observed the defendant located behind the
13 fence in a position that provided a clear line of fire to the
14 sixth hole putting green.

15 The agent then observed a long black object protruding
16 through the fence and realized that the object was the barrel
17 of a rifle aimed directly at him. The agent jumped out of the
18 golf cart, drew his weapon, and began backing away. The agent
19 saw the rifle barrel move, and the agent fired at the
20 defendant.

21 The agent only took the necessary shots needed to
22 neutralize the threat while also being cognizant of his public
23 surroundings. The agent then reached a nearby tree for cover,
24 conducted a tactical reload of his weapon, and looked up but
25 saw that the defendant had disappeared.

The agent then radioed in what had transpired before clearing the tree line with another United States Secret Service special agent.

Former President Trump, who at this point was on the putting green on the fifth hole, was immediately removed from the area by the Secret Service agents upon hearing the shots that were fired at the sixth hole.

Law enforcement searched the area where the defendant was located. What they found, your Honor, was nothing short of a sniper position, something you might see in the movies or, frankly, a war zone.

Can we pull up Exhibit 2, please.

As you can see from the photo, law enforcement located a loaded rifle leaning up against the fence. And, your Honor, I will also point out, for the court's reference, you can see in this photo, for lack of better descriptions, a dirt path towards the top of the photo on the golf course side of the fence. That is the dirt path that the Secret Service agent rode down when he ultimately saw the defendant on the other side of the fence. And as you can see, that is a very short distance.

The rifle was equipped with a scope and a round in the chamber, indicating that it could be fired with a single pull of the trigger. The rifle also had an extended magazine. The serial number on the rifle was obliterated and unreadable.

1 Can we pull up Government Exhibits 3 and 4.

2 Government Exhibit 3, your Honor, shows the rifle
3 recovered from the scene with the scope as well as the
4 magazine, and Government Exhibit 4 shows the obliterated serial
5 number.

6 The rifle was identified as an SKS semiautomatic that
7 fires 7.6 x 39 ammunition, the same type of round that is used
8 by militaries throughout the world. The firearm contained a
9 total of 11 rounds.

10 Can we go back to Government Exhibit 2.

11 Located next to the firearm hanging on the fence was a
12 digital camera -- and you can see that, that small, little
13 device -- as well as two bags, including a backpack.

14 As your Honor can see, these bags were located low to
15 the ground and provided a narrow opening where the rifle, when
16 it was found by law enforcement, was leaning up against the
17 fence in that opening.

18 The bags, each contained a plate. Those plates were
19 tested ballistically, and the test results indicated that both
20 of those plates were capable of stopping small arms fire.

21 Those plates also indicated, your Honor, and the
22 positioning of the bags in relation to the ground, clearly
23 demonstrates an attempt by the defendant to set up an area
24 where he could provide for himself maximum protection if in the
25 event the Secret Service returned fire.

1 THE COURT: When you say "plates," by the way, do you
2 mean, like, kitchen plates, traditional ceramic plates?

3 MR. DISPOTO: No. So we are not sure if these plates
4 are made of metal or steel, which is why we just described them
5 as plates. But like I indicated -- I guess I would analogize
6 it to a Kevlar plate, if the court is aware of what that is.

7 When they were tested, they basically proved to be
8 impenetrable, and they were specifically tested with rounds of
9 fire that the Secret Service uses in their weapons.

10 So for all intents and purposes, Judge, based on that
11 information, these were designed to protect Mr. Routh from
12 return fire.

13 Also located on the ground, and you can see in the
14 bottom left-hand corner of this photograph, was a black plastic
15 bag that contained food. Those items there are mini sausage
16 snacks.

17 Since recovering the firearm, the FBI has conducted
18 forensic testing and processing. The scope of the gun was
19 attached to the rifle in part by tape. Upon that tape the FBI
20 recovered a latent fingerprint. That print has been matched
21 preliminarily to the defendant, Mr. Routh.

22 Shortly after shots were fired, a civilian driving in
23 the area observed the defendant run across Summit Boulevard
24 towards a black Nissan Xterra, bearing a Florida license plate,
25 which was parked nearby.

1 Can we go back to Exhibit 1, please.

2 So, your Honor, if you look again at the bottom
3 right-hand portion of the screen, you will see Summit
4 Boulevard. You will also see two entranceways that are
5 perpendicular to Summit Boulevard, both of which are west of
6 Congress Avenue.

7 That first roadway, the one to the right of the two,
8 is the roadway where Mr. Routh's Nissan Xterra was parked where
9 the witness saw him flee to.

10 The witness and the defendant made eye contact. The
11 witness observed the defendant get into the Nissan Xterra and
12 flee at a high rate of speed. The witness was able to get
13 close enough to the vehicle to take a picture of it. He also
14 wrote down all but the last digit of the license plate. The
15 witness immediately reported his observations to law
16 enforcement.

17 Officers from the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office
18 and the Martin County Sheriff's Office located the Nissan
19 Xterra as it traveled northbound on I-95.

20 Could we pull up Exhibit 5, please.

21 Government Exhibit 5 shows the approximate distance
22 from where Mr. Routh was present at approximately 1:30 at the
23 fence next to Trump International Golf Club and the location
24 where he was arrested on I-95 approximately 45 minutes later.

25 At approximately 2:15 in the afternoon, the Martin

1 County Sheriff's Office initiated a motor vehicle stop on I-95.
2 The defendant was the driver and the sole occupant of the
3 vehicle.

4 During the stop law enforcement transported the
5 eyewitness to the scene, who positively identified the
6 defendant as the same individual who had previously been seen
7 entering the Nissan Xterra after fleeing from the forested area
8 next to Trump International.

9 Now, your Honor, I'd like to pause for a moment and
10 point out that the license plate that was attached to the
11 defendant's vehicle did not belong to the Nissan Xterra. In
12 fact, it was registered to a totally different vehicle on the
13 west coast of Florida.

14 Agents learned from searching the vehicle, pursuant to
15 a federal search warrant, that at least two other license
16 plates were also located inside the car. They also learned
17 that several additional items of interest, including six cell
18 phones, were found in the vehicle. One of those phones
19 contained a Google search of how to travel from Palm Beach
20 County to Mexico.

21 Agents also located inside the vehicle 12 pairs of
22 gloves, a Hawaii driver's license in the defendant's name, and
23 a passport in the defendant's name. They also located several
24 documents, including a handwritten list of dates in August,
25 September, and October and venues where former President Trump

1 had appeared or was expected to appear, and a notebook
2 containing dozens of pages filled with names and phone numbers
3 pertaining to Ukraine, discussions about how to join combat on
4 behalf of Ukraine, and notes criticizing the governments of
5 China and Russia.

6 The FBI has also obtained historical cell site records
7 for two of the phones found in the Nissan Xterra. The phones
8 are serviced by different phone carriers that utilize different
9 cell towers.

10 Preliminary analysis of the records indicate that the
11 defendant's cell phone traveled from Greensboro, North
12 Carolina, to West Palm Beach, Florida, on August 14, 2024.

13 The records also show preliminarily that from
14 August 18, 2024 to September 15, 2024, the defendant's cell
15 phone accessed cell towers near Trump International and the
16 former President's residence at Mar-a-Lago.

17 As part of this investigation, FBI agents recently
18 reviewed a book, apparently authored by Mr. Routh in February
19 of 2023, entitled "*Ukraine's Unwinnable War: The Fatal Flaw of
Democracy, World Abandonment, and The Global Citizen-Taiwan,
Afghanistan, North Korea, and the End of Humanity.*"

22 In the book, the defendant stated that he "must take
23 part of the blame for the person that we elected for our next
24 president that ended up being brainless, but I am man enough to
25 say that I misjudged and made a terrible mistake, and Iran, I

1 apologize. You are free to assassinate Trump, as well as me,
2 for that error in judgment and dismantling of the deal. No one
3 here in the United States seems to have the balls to put
4 natural selection to work or even unnatural selection."

5 On September 18th of 2024, law enforcement contacted a
6 civilian witness who stated that the defendant had dropped off
7 a box at his residence several months ago.

8 After learning of the incident that occurred at Trump
9 International on the 15th, the witness opened the box. The
10 witness stated that the box contained several items, including
11 a box of ammunition, a metal pipe, miscellaneous building
12 materials, tools, four phones, and various letters, including
13 the letter depicted in Government Exhibit 6.

14 Your Honor, I will read the first two sentences of
15 Government Exhibit 6. The letter is addressed:

16 "Dear world: This was an assassination attempt on
17 Donald Trump, but I am so sorry I failed you. I tried my best
18 and gave it all the gumption I could muster. It is up to you
19 now to finish the job, and I will offer \$150,000 to whomever
20 can complete the job. Everyone across the globe, from the
21 youngest to the oldest, know that Trump is unfit to be
22 anything, much less a United States president. United States
23 presidents must, at bare minimum, embody the moral fabric that
24 is America and be kind, caring, and selfless, and always stand
25 for humanity."

The letter went on to say further that, "He" -- the former President of the United States -- "ended relations with Iran like a child and now the Middle East has unraveled."

The FBI and other law enforcement agencies have interviewed numerous people throughout the country, including Hawaii and North Carolina. Based on those interviews, the government has learned that the defendant does not have any established ties or connection to the Southern District of Florida. He does not reside here, have family here, vacation here, or have any legitimate employment purpose for being here.

Based on this information, the defendant appears to have been located in West Palm Beach area on September 15th of 2024 and for the preceding one month prior to his arrest on that day for one reason and one reason only, and that was to kill the former President of the United States. And if it wasn't for the quick response of the United States' Secret Service, a very brave American citizen, and overwhelming response from law enforcement, former President -- I'm sorry, the defendant may very well have succeeded.

After his arrest, the defendant spoke on a recorded jail line with a female acquaintance. During the call, the defendant informed her that the call was being recorded. Towards the end of the call, the defendant told the female that he was sorry. The female responded, "I am processing the most horrible thing that you could have done." The defendant

1 responded by apologizing again.

2 Your Honor, that concludes the factual proffer, and I
3 would reserve the right to make argument regarding detention.

4 THE COURT: Thank you.

5 Defense, would you like to cross-examine the agent?

6 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Can the agent come forward,
8 please.

9 CHRISTIAN HULL,

10 having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and
11 testified as follows:

12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your name for the
13 record.

14 THE WITNESS: Special Agent Christian Hull.

15 THE COURT: You can proceed defense.

16 MS. SIHVOLA: As a preliminary matter, if I might put
17 something on the record.

18 Before this hearing began we had requested the
19 government to provide *Jencks* material, and they have done so.

20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

21 MS. SIHVOLA: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Is it Hull or Hall?

23 THE WITNESS: H-U-L-L.

24 THE COURT: Thank you.

25 Go ahead.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

3 Q. Agent Hull, did you review certain evidence and material
4 before your testimony today?

5 A. Yes, I did.

6 Q. Did you review the government's proffer that they had filed
7 this morning?

8 A. Yes, I did.

9 Q. Did you review surveillance video?

10 A. I have reviewed some video, yes.

11 Q. So let's talk about the video that you reviewed.

12 Did you review the video from the Trump International
13 golf course?

14 A. No, I have not.

15 Q. But there is -- have you reviewed reports detailing that
16 footage?

17 A. I have not.

18 Of the actual golf course itself?

19 Q. Yes.

20 A. I have not.

21 Q. Or cameras surrounding the golf course?

22 A. I am aware that there was camera footage pulled in and
23 around the golf course, or I guess around the golf course is a
24 better way to put it, in the area.

25 Q. From various businesses?

1 A. There was a canvas for business footage.

2 Q. And was some recovered?

3 A. I do know we pulled down some video from businesses or
4 spots in the area, but I have not reviewed those.

5 Q. Okay. Did you review the reports that detail what's in
6 those videos?

7 A. I have not reviewed all of the reports, no.

8 Q. What about reports about the West Palm Beach city camera
9 footage?

10 A. Are you referring to the one that was like -- I think I
11 know which one you are talking about. I am aware that we
12 pulled footage that was from, I believe, the School Board that
13 was in the area, but I did not review that footage. I am aware
14 we have some, though.

15 Q. Did you review the report that details the School Board
16 footage?

17 A. No, I did not review a final report of that.

18 Q. Let me ask you this. Are you aware of any type of
19 surveillance video that captures the individual with the
20 firearm?

21 A. Could you be more specific? Like the individual holding
22 the firearm? Is that what you are referring to?

23 Q. Yes.

24 A. We do not have any video of the individual holding the
25 firearm that I am aware of right now.

1 Q. What about the individual while the person is on or right
2 next to the fence line area?

3 A. Surveillance footage?

4 Q. Yes.

5 A. No, I am not aware of that.

6 Q. What about video of the crossing of the street?

7 MS. SIHVOLA: Could we pull up Government Exhibit
8 Number 1, please.

9 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

10 Q. The footage on the southeast corner crossing from the
11 grassy area across the street to where the vehicle was parked.

12 A. I am not aware of any video that captures the subject
13 running across the street.

14 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any video from this scene capturing
15 the suspect?

16 A. No, I am not.

17 Q. You did review the Martin County stop with their body
18 camera footage.

19 A. I have reviewed portions of it, yes. We are still getting
20 footage in.

21 Q. Okay. What about the footage on the GoPro camera that was
22 on the fence?

23 A. That footage was reviewed not by myself.

24 Q. Did you review reports of that footage?

25 A. I took verbally that there was a handful of videos. I am

1 not sure of the exact amount that were on the camera.

2 Q. And did you review the license plate, the LPR captures of
3 the vehicle in question?

4 A. I have seen LPR data.

5 Q. Have you -- do you have those records?

6 A. We do have them. I do not have them with me here today.

7 Q. Did you review reports about those records?

8 A. I have taken a lot of verbal statements about the LPRs, but
9 I have not reviewed finalized reports with detail on that
10 exactly. I can't remember any of that.

11 Q. The government in their proffer spoke about ballistics
12 testing.

13 Did you review those reports?

14 A. At this time, that's a preliminary result. We do not have
15 an official report, that I am aware of.

16 Q. Okay. So there is no official report on the testings of
17 the tiles?

18 A. By the time I am sitting here today, it's possible it's in
19 there. I am just not aware that we have the official report
20 yet.

21 Q. Have you spoken to the individual who did the testing of
22 those tiles?

23 A. No.

24 Q. So a different individual contacted you about that
25 ballistics testing?

1 A. We received word about the ballistics testing, yes.

2 Q. You also received word about fingerprint and DNA testing?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And both were done in this case?

5 A. Fingerprints and DNA have been analyzed in this case.

6 Q. Okay. And of all of the fingerprint latent prints that
7 were lifted, only one ended up being identified to a person?

8 A. Out of all of the stuff that was analyzed, you are asking?

9 Q. Of all of the latent lifts that were lifted, only one came
10 back as, we'll just say for lack of a better word, a match to a
11 person; is that correct?

12 A. Are you asking about the firearm specifically or all
13 evidence?

14 Q. All of the evidence.

15 A. No. We have had multiple fingerprint matches.

16 Q. Okay. Any other matches to Mr. Routh?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. And what items were those?

19 A. I can't give you a specific right now. I just know several
20 different items had -- I know it came from handwritten notes.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. But I have not seen --

23 THE COURT: Do you know, agent, were any of the
24 fingerprints matched to Mr. Routh from the materials that were
25 found there near the rifle? The plates, for instance, or

1 anything like that?

2 THE WITNESS: I am aware that there was a fingerprint
3 on the rifle and that there was a plastic bag. I am not sure
4 where it was located, if it was inside of one of the bags
5 hanging on the fence or if it was next to it. I know it was in
6 that region of the exhibit.

7 MS. SIHVOLA: Could we pull up Exhibit Number 2.

8 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

9 Q. So are you talking about this plastic bag that's visible in
10 this photograph?

11 A. I was told that there is a fingerprint hit to the subject
12 off of a plastic bag. I am not able to tell you if it is that
13 plastic bag that you are speaking of right here, but it was
14 somewhere in this region. That's what I was aware of, or it
15 was explained to me.

16 Q. Okay. So is there a bag in the lower left-hand corner with
17 the sausages?

18 A. From looking at this photo, it does look like there is a
19 plastic bag there.

20 Q. So you are not sure if it could have been from there or
21 another plastic bag in the area?

22 A. Correct. It could have been potentially a plastic bag
23 within these bags that are hanging on the fence. I am not
24 quite sure.

25 Q. And for the DNA analysis, was there anyone's DNA on any of

1 these items found at the scene?

2 A. We had a preliminary DNA match to the subject off of one of
3 these two bags that are hanging on the fence.

4 Q. One of the bags that are connected to the fence line area?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Where was that DNA collected from?

7 A. I am not sure of specifics there. These are all
8 preliminary reports, and we are still waiting for the official
9 report to come down and a one-to-one comparison on the DNA and
10 fingerprints.

11 Q. Okay. But in terms of the GoPro and the firearm, you did
12 not -- there was no DNA that had any preliminary match to
13 Mr. Routh?

14 A. Not that I am aware of. Just the fingerprint on the
15 firearm.

16 Q. Okay. And when you say it's on the firearm, are you
17 talking about on the electrical tape?

18 A. It was explained to me that it was on the tape, but I can't
19 confirm for sure that that's where it was. We are still
20 waiting on an official report. But that's how it was
21 understood, the tape on the scope.

22 Q. Okay. And did you review -- you mentioned -- or in the
23 proffer it was mentioned there were six phones that were
24 collected from the vehicle and then four phones from this box.

25 Were you able to review the contents of those ten

1 phones in total?

2 A. The six phones that are in the vehicle are being analyzed.

3 I have not gotten the official report on all of those. I have
4 seen some data coming out of those phones. But the four
5 phones --

6 Q. In the box that was given to the civilian, according to the
7 government's proffer.

8 A. Correct, yeah. Those have not been uploaded.

9 Q. Meaning that they have been analyzed, but you are not aware
10 if --

11 A. They have not been analyzed.

12 Q. Okay. The scene itself from where we see this, the rifle's
13 position, did you physically go to this scene and see these
14 items with your own eyes?

15 A. I was at the scene, yes, and I did see that area.

16 Q. When you were at the scene, is this how you saw the
17 position of this rifle?

18 A. I did not see the rifle this way. I knew it was back into
19 the tree line. So to preserve the scene and not have other
20 people, as little of people walking in and out of that region,
21 I did not walk up there.

22 At some point it was supposed -- it was going to rain.
23 So the firearm was moved at a certain point. So I never -- by
24 the time that happened, I never got back to see it in this
25 exact way.

1 Q. Was the firearm moved before or after this picture was
2 taken?

3 A. Yeah, I believe that this photo was taken before anything
4 was moved at all.

5 Q. Okay. So how close did you physically come to this area,
6 if you can estimate for distance, about your own observations?

7 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, I am going to object based
8 on relevance.

9 THE COURT: Defense.

10 MS. SIHVOLA: It goes to his ability to testify to his
11 personal knowledge of what he actually saw and the accuracy of
12 the information that the government has proffered.

13 THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection. You
14 of course have the right to inquire into the strength of the
15 evidence, but I think that goes a little bit far afield. So I
16 am going to sustain it and ask you to move on.

17 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

18 Q. So have you done any investigation into Mr. Routh?

19 A. Yes, we have investigated Mr. Routh.

20 Q. Okay. And was there ever any prior federal surveillance of
21 him?

22 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

23 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, the government is going into
24 great detail in terms of Mr. Routh's background. They
25 mentioned even during the written proffer, as well as in their

1 exhibits --

2 THE COURT: That's fair. Overruled.

3 Go ahead. You can answer.

4 A. Not that I am aware of.

5 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

6 Q. Okay. You are not aware of any prior federal investigation
7 of him or any federal cases against him?

8 A. I know there was a potential complaint in the past, but I
9 am not aware of the details as I sit here today.

10 Q. When you say "complaint," do you mean somebody telling a
11 federal agent in 2019 that he had a firearm?

12 A. Yes, that sounds right, that there was something of that
13 nature. I am not aware of the details.

14 Q. Okay. Are you aware that information was passed on to
15 Honolulu, or if anything was done with that?

16 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, I renew my objection for
17 relevance.

18 MS. SIHVOLA: Same response, your Honor. The
19 government is going into his background in terms of --

20 THE COURT: Understood.

21 You can answer that question, but I am not going to
22 let it go too much further.

23 MS. SIHVOLA: That's fine.

24 A. I believe the Honolulu field office did have that
25 information. I am not sure what was done of it.

1 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

2 Q. Okay. The government mentioned in its proffer that many
3 people across the country, in Hawaii and in North Carolina,
4 were contacted in terms of Mr. Routh's contacts in Florida and
5 his employment in Florida.

6 Did you do those contacts?

7 A. I did not make any of those contacts.

8 Q. Not a single one of them?

9 A. No, I did not.

10 Q. So you are relying on other officers' reports?

11 A. Verbal statements and reports, yes.

12 Q. Okay. Based on the verbal statements and reports that came
13 to you, approximately how many people were contacted about
14 Mr. Routh in terms of his history to Florida and his
15 employment?

16 A. Can you give me the last part of that question again?

17 Q. Yes.

18 How many people were contacted? When the government
19 is making a proffer that he had no ties to Florida,
20 approximately how many people did they speak to?

21 A. I could tell you we have spoken to a lot of individuals. I
22 am not sure what the number is at this point, and that number
23 does change by the day. It's an ongoing investigation and
24 there is a lot of leads coming in. So I do not have a number
25 for that.

1 Q. Is it more than two people?

2 A. We have spoken to more than two people about, yes, Routh's
3 background.

4 Q. Do you have any range for his Honor in terms of the number
5 of people giving you this information, any estimate, a range of
6 people?

7 A. Are you talking -- as far as people that we have
8 interviewed?

9 Q. People that you interviewed regarding Mr. Routh's contacts
10 or noncontacts to Florida.

11 A. I do not have a number for that. It is multiple, though.

12 Q. Okay. You also reviewed his social media. Is that fair to
13 say?

14 A. To an extent. I am sure that database checks were done on
15 social media type things, or people tried to review social
16 media, yes.

17 Q. Okay. And you are aware that he had made posts about all
18 of the fight that he has been doing for Ukraine, fighting for
19 families and democracy?

20 A. I am not sure if that came from his social media. I am
21 aware that he did have some Ukraine ties there with that.

22 Q. Did you review the number of interviews that he gave in
23 2022 when he was in Ukraine to various news sources?

24 A. I personally have not reviewed those.

25 Q. Okay. Are you aware of them?

1 A. I am aware that Mr. Routh has spoken to reporters in the
2 past. I don't know to the extent of what those interviews
3 were.

4 Q. Okay. But you can generally say that he showed his support
5 for Ukraine and Taiwan and fighting for democracy?

6 A. I guess that would be a fair statement. I am not really
7 sure of the depth of the -- I don't have a whole lot of depth
8 on that topic for review.

9 Q. Okay. So you personally have not done any review yourself?

10 A. That's not true.

11 Are you talking about for this topic?

12 Q. For the social media -- in terms of Mr. Routh's social
13 media in the past few years.

14 A. I have not done those checks, no.

15 Q. Okay. And you haven't reviewed any of your fellow agents'
16 review of his social media?

17 A. I have not seen any final reports on his social media
18 posts, no.

19 Q. Okay. And so no one that you know about, him meeting
20 representatives in D.C. last year, or attempting to --

21 MR. DISPOTO: Objection, your Honor. Relevance.

22 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, it goes to his background.
23 The government is attempting to represent significant details
24 in terms of Mr. Routh's history.

25 This is one of my final questions in this field.

1 THE COURT: I will let this one go, but that's because
2 the government proffered evidence regarding the book he had
3 supposedly written dealing with Ukraine.

4 I am going to let this go a little bit, but I am not
5 going to let it go too far.

6 MS. SIHVOLA: Correct. I don't have much more, your
7 Honor.

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

10 Q. Are you aware of his attempts, even in Washington, D.C., to
11 meet with representatives regarding Ukraine and Taiwan?

12 A. I am not aware of any specifics on that.

13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any of his background history in
14 terms of where he grew up or that he is an Eagle Scout,
15 anything like that?

16 A. I am not, no.

17 Q. Okay. You reviewed at least his criminal history?

18 A. I did see his criminal history.

19 Q. Okay. And in his criminal history, you would agree with me
20 that there's no physical acts of violence? He has no history
21 of being violent of any nature?

22 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, I am going to object. The
23 question calls for the witness to give legal conclusions.

24 THE COURT: I am going to sustain that because I think
25 his criminal history is part of the Pretrial Services report

1 and we can all draw our own conclusions about what it means.

2 You are free to make that argument when we get to that
3 stage.

4 MS. SIHVOLA: All right.

5 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

6 Q. So let's talk about the scene itself at the Donald J. Trump
7 golf course.

8 We see a fence in Exhibit Number 2. Is there any
9 evidence from the federal government that the person who was
10 seated or at this position ever crossed the fence?

11 And when I say "crossed the fence," onto the golf
12 course.

13 A. Are you talking about ever or just during this incident?

14 Q. During this incident.

15 A. I am not aware of any statements that say that he -- the
16 individual entered the golf course grounds.

17 Q. Okay. What about ever?

18 A. I am not sure. I know there is a lot of cell phone digital
19 evidence that we have, and that would have to be reviewed in
20 full.

21 Q. So as we sit here today, you are not aware of any evidence,
22 whether it's statements or physical evidence or digital
23 analysis, that the person ever crossed this fence line?

24 A. Correct. I would have to wait for a full analysis before I
25 could -- we could make that statement.

1 Q. And is it fair to say that you looked at a map of the golf
2 course?

3 A. Yes, I have seen a map of the golf course.

4 Q. And you also walked the golf course yourself?

5 A. I have been on the golf course, a portion of it, yes.

6 Q. And you would agree with me that the portion where the
7 majority of the firearm is sitting is not on the golf course
8 itself; is that correct?

9 A. Are you asking from this photo?

10 Q. No. I am saying that where the rifle -- we will just say
11 the sausages, okay?

12 Where the sausages are in the photograph, that is not
13 part of the standard golf course; is that correct?

14 A. Are you talking about the -- everything on the -- closer to
15 the camera, you say that's not the golf course ground. Is that
16 your question?

17 Q. That's what I am asking.

18 A. To my understanding, that is correct.

19 Q. Okay. The degree that the firearm was sitting, are you
20 aware of this angle, like how high from the ground it was?

21 A. I mean, I can't say that from looking at this photo, where
22 it was at.

23 Q. Okay. Are you aware from reports or other individuals,
24 crime scene analysts who took observations of the scene, how
25 physically high it was above the ground, this rifle?

1 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, I am going to object to the
2 form of the question. The photograph speaks for itself.

3 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.

4 Well, go ahead. What's your response?

5 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, if I may.

6 The government was representing that this is
7 essentially a sniper position and a war zone. I believe if
8 there is information in terms of exactly how high it was off
9 the ground, that would be relevant to combat the government's
10 proffer. As they are proffering these bold statements of the
11 positioning of the firearm, I think that we have a right to ask
12 for details of it.

13 THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection
14 because I think we can draw our own conclusions from the photo
15 itself.

16 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

17 Q. How much of the rifle was protruding through the fence?

18 MR. DISPOTO: I am going to object to the form of the
19 question as to the time frame. Is she talking about at the
20 moment this was taken or when the Secret Service agent saw it?
I think we need more clarity as to when she is talking about.

22 THE COURT: Can you narrow the question?

23 MS. SIHVOLA: I can, your Honor.

24 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

25 Q. When the rifle was found and photographed in this position,

1 approximately how much of the rifle is sticking through the
2 fence?

3 MR. DISPOTO: Same objection, your Honor. The
4 photograph speaks for itself. This witness' estimation as to
5 how far it's peeking through the fence is not relevant.

6 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, this is an individual --

7 THE COURT: Let me stop you.

8 Agent, based upon a comparison of the two photos of
9 the entire rifle in this photo itself, do you have an estimate
10 of how many inches the barrel of the rifle was sticking through
11 the fence in this photo?

12 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I can guess, but my guess is
13 going to be just like anybody else looking at this photo.

14 THE COURT: What's your guess?

15 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to go ahead?

16 THE COURT: Sure.

17 THE WITNESS: I would say 3 inches it appears from
18 this photo that we are looking at here.

19 THE COURT: All right.

20 You can keep going.

21 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

22 Q. Did you ever speak with a single crime scene analyst who
23 documented their observations of these items or review any of
24 their reports?

25 A. I did speak to some of the folks that were on scene that

1 processed this scene, yes.

2 Q. But you never reviewed the reports?

3 A. I haven't reviewed their final report, no.

4 Q. Okay. Upon speaking with them, did they indicate how much
5 of the firearm was sticking through the fence?

6 A. No, they did not.

7 Q. Okay. And did they indicate anything about the position of
8 the rifle at all to you, or did you ask?

9 A. Are you talking about from this photo here? I mean --

10 Q. Correct. Because you are saying that this is the rifle as
11 it is stationary when crime scene photographed it; is that
12 correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Okay. So I am asking about the crime scene observations of
15 when they looked at this rifle in this position.

16 Did they communicate those to you?

17 A. Most of the individuals that came on scene, came on scene
18 after this firearm had to be moved due to weather and
19 preservation of evidence.

20 So the photo that we have here is the original
21 position, as far as I am aware, and I have not spoken to
22 anybody who made any additional comments to me about any of the
23 questions that you are asking in relation to the positioning of
24 this firearm.

25 Q. Okay.

1 A. We just have that photo.

2 Q. Were any shell casings found on the scene?

3 A. Are you talking about spent shell casings?

4 Q. Correct.

5 A. We did recover a spent shell casing, I believe, yes.

6 Q. Where was that recovered from?

7 A. I would have to review the report to see the exact location
8 of that, and you would have to speak to the investigators that
9 processed the scene to get that firsthand account.

10 Q. Was it a shell casing from the Secret Service individual or
11 from a different firearm?

12 A. From my understanding verbally was that it would have been
13 from the Secret Service agent is the shell casing that we would
14 have recovered.

15 Q. But only one?

16 A. No. It was several. But I do not have the exact number
17 sitting here today.

18 Q. Okay. Would the shell casings have been visible in this
19 photograph?

20 A. I am not sure where they were recovered from.

21 Q. Okay. Any projectiles recovered?

22 A. I believe so, but I would have to refer to a report for an
23 official on that.

24 Q. Okay. Do you know how close the projectiles were recovered
25 from?

1 A. I do not know exactly where they were located.

2 Q. Or amount?

3 A. I can't sit here today and give you a firm answer.

4 Q. Okay. There was no indication that Mr. Routh or his
5 vehicle was ever struck with any projectile?

6 A. Mr. Routh, to my knowledge, had no injuries. And we
7 processed the vehicle, and it has not been relayed to me that
8 any rounds had struck the vehicle, to my knowledge.

9 Q. Okay. What about any damage to civilian property?

10 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

13 Q. The government mentioned that there were plates found in
14 these bags that were hanging; is that correct?

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. How many plates were in these bags?

17 A. To my understanding, we have recovered two plates, but I
18 have not seen the plates.

19 Q. Were they one plate in each bag?

20 A. That is my understanding.

21 Q. What is the size of these plates?

22 A. I do not have that. We would have to wait for an official
23 report to come back.

24 Q. Okay. So when the government proffered that these plates
25 would have protected the person, you actually can't testify to

1 that because you don't know how big they are?

2 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Calls for speculation.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

4 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

5 Q. Can you testify with any accuracy if these plates could
6 have actually protected anyone?

7 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Calls for speculation.

8 THE COURT: Sustained. He's already indicated he
9 doesn't know what size the plates were. We can draw our own
10 conclusions from that.

11 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

12 Q. How thick were the plates?

13 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

14 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, it goes to the government's
15 proffer.

16 THE COURT: You can answer that, if you know.

17 A. Ma'am, I did not see these plates, so I do not know. All I
18 know is that it was ballistically tested, and it held up to the
19 ballistic testing preliminarily.

20 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

21 Q. Okay. When you say "ballistic testing," somebody fired at
22 these plates in a laboratory setting? Is that fair to say?

23 A. My understanding is that they fired at it. I don't know
24 what the setting was, but they did fire with a weapon and
25 ammunition that was similar to the Secret Service

1 specifications on their firearms.

2 Q. What was the ammunition fired?

3 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

4 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, it goes to the government's
5 proffer.

6 THE COURT: You can answer, if you know.

7 A. I do not know that answer.

8 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

9 Q. Okay. What was the firearm fired?

10 A. It was -- to my understanding, it's the same specification
11 that the Secret Service agent had. I do not know what it was
12 offhand.

13 Q. Okay. Was it a small firearm, like a handheld gun?

14 A. It was a pistol, yes.

15 Q. It wasn't a rifle?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Do you know if these plates would have stood up to a rifle?

18 A. I do not.

19 Q. Besides -- how many rounds were fired at these plates
20 during the ballistics testing?

21 A. I believe five, but I would have to refer to the official
22 report to know that answer.

23 Q. Okay. So approximately five at each one or five total?

24 A. Five total, but that's just my understanding. Again, we
25 would have to get an official report for a firm answer on that.

1 Q. And you don't know the distance in which they were fired?

2 A. No.

3 Q. You said -- besides the rifle, the plates, and these bags,
4 the GoPro, the sausages in this bag, is there any other object
5 found in this vicinity that was collected into evidence?

6 A. There was a letter that was addressed to the *New York*
7 *Times*, I believe, that was found nearby.

8 Q. When you say "nearby" -- if we can pull up Government
9 Exhibit Number 1 -- where do you mean "nearby"? Was it closer
10 to the street or closer to the golf course?

11 A. As explained to me verbally, it was approximately 15 feet
12 from the original photo that you have. I do not know exactly
13 where.

14 Q. Okay. So you don't know if it was on, like, the sidewalk
15 or towards the bushes?

16 A. I believe it was in the bushes somewhere. Like I don't
17 know if it was to the east or to the west, but it was in that
18 vicinity, which was what your question was.

19 Q. Okay. This letter, was there any forensic testing done on
20 this in terms of fingerprint or DNA?

21 A. There was.

22 Q. And what did that come back as?

23 A. I would have to get a full readout on that as well, but I
24 do know we did have a fingerprint comparison on that.

25 Q. Okay. Did that come back to anyone?

1 A. It did come back to a different individual.

2 Q. Who is?

3 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance as to the
4 identity.

5 THE COURT: It did not come back to Mr. Routh? Is
6 that what you are saying?

7 THE WITNESS: I would have to review to see if there
8 was also a hit to Mr. Routh. I am not sure on that one.

9 THE COURT: To the extent you have -- it came back to
10 another person, I am going to sustain the objection as to the
11 identity of that person. All I am concerned about is whether
12 it came back to Mr. Routh or not.

13 You are telling me you can't answer that?

14 THE WITNESS: I do not know as I sit here right now.

15 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

16 Q. Without revealing the identity, can you tell us if that
17 person has any connections to Mr. Routh whatsoever?

18 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

19 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, it goes --

20 MR. DISPOTO: I'm sorry.

21 MS. SIHVOLA: It goes to physical evidence connected
22 to Mr. Routh, if there's any connection at all, whether it's
23 employment or family or anything of that nature.

24 THE COURT: Go ahead, government.

25 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, we haven't proffered

1 anything about this letter, and it's our burden of proof with
2 respect to these proceedings. So this is some ancillary matter
3 that is not part of the government's proffer.

4 THE COURT: I am sustaining the objection because I
5 think it's cumulative. There's been enough evidence at the
6 scene connected to Mr. Routh.

7 But go ahead.

8 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, if I just might respond very
9 briefly.

10 This is evidence showing it's not Mr. Routh. This
11 would actually be *Brady* material showing physical evidence
12 connecting someone else.

13 We don't have to ask evidence that only supports the
14 government's position. We are allowed to ask for potential
15 exculpatory materials, and that's the brief question, only if
16 this person has any connection to Mr. Routh whatsoever.

17 THE COURT: I am sustaining the objection. Please
18 move on.

19 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

20 Q. The contents of the letter, did they -- what did it say?

21 A. I would have --

22 MR. DISPOTO: Same objection, your Honor.

23 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, the government proffered a
24 letter, and I am simply trying to establish is there any
25 evidence that it's similar in nature or if it's completely

1 different, showing a different individual.

2 THE COURT: Government.

3 MR. DISPOTO: We didn't proffer anything about this
4 letter. So this is not before the court. It is an ancillary
5 matter that's not relevant to these proceedings.

6 THE COURT: Understood.

7 I am overruling the objection. It was found near the
8 scene.

9 Go ahead. What did the letter say?

10 THE WITNESS: I would need to review the letter again
11 to be able to give you a description of the letter.

12 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

13 Q. Was there any mention of former President Donald J. Trump
14 in the letter?

15 A. I would have to review the letter.

16 Q. So there was a letter found right near the scene, and you
17 have no idea what it says?

18 A. I did review the letter.

19 We are eight days into this investigation. We have
20 taken in a lot of information, and I would need to review the
21 letter again to see what it said.

22 Q. Okay. Mr. Routh is being accused of assassinating former
23 President Donald J. Trump.

24 Was there anything of any evidentiary nature in it, in
25 the contents of that letter?

1 A. I would have to review the letter again to be able to give
2 you an answer to that question.

3 Q. Was there anything indicating someone else had written that
4 letter?

5 MR. DISPOTO: Objection, your Honor. The witness has
6 already testified he would have to review the letter again to
7 refresh his recollection as to its contents.

8 THE COURT: You can answer her more specific question.

9 The objection is overruled.

10 Go ahead. Go ahead and restate the question.

11 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

12 Q. Was there any indication that anyone else had written that
13 letter?

14 A. Are you asking me to speculate?

15 THE COURT: No. She is asking you, based upon
16 whatever recollection you have of the letter, was there any
17 indication that someone else wrote it?

18 THE WITNESS: There is nothing that jumped out to me
19 that made it to where I felt like somebody else would have
20 wrote that letter.

21 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

22 Q. Was there any handwriting analysis done for that letter on
23 scene versus the letter that the government chose to proffer
24 this morning?

25 A. We have not done an official comparison.

1 Q. Okay. Was there anything buried at the scene that federal
2 agents dug up and collected into evidence?

3 A. Our crime scene folks would have to answer that question.

4 Q. You are not aware?

5 A. No.

6 Q. All right. So if we can back up a little bit in this
7 photograph.

8 The government had proffered, in terms of line of
9 sight, where the rifle had posted -- if we could back up.

10 Zoom out. If we could zoom out -- thank you so
11 much -- for Government Exhibit 1.

12 The government had proffered that the rifle was within
13 the line of sight of the sixth green; is that correct?

14 A. That is correct.

15 Q. But you would agree with me that there was no line of sight
16 between where the rifle was and where former President Donald
17 J. Trump was on the fifth course -- on the fifth hole of this
18 golf course?

19 A. I am not sure on that one. I would have to go back to the
20 scene and look to see if there is any line of sight onto the
21 fifth hole or to the fifth green.

22 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any representations by the head of
23 the Secret Service stating that there was no line of sight?

24 A. Can you ask me that question again?

25 Q. Are you aware of all of the press releases that have been

1 done, including the head of the Secret Service, stating that
2 there was no line of sight between where the person was and
3 where Donald J. Trump was?

4 A. I am not aware of his statement, no.

5 Q. So you are not aware that he had said the subject, who did
6 not have a line of sight to the former President, fled the
7 scene?

8 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Asked and answered.

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 Move on.

11 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

12 Q. There is no indication that former President Donald J.
13 Trump or the individual in which he was golfing with, Steve
14 Witkoff, ever saw any firearm, correct?

15 A. I mean, I don't believe that -- I don't have any knowledge
16 one way or the other on that one.

17 Q. You haven't reviewed any witness statements in this case on
18 whether or not he saw a potential shooter or a firearm?

19 A. I am aware that individuals on the golf course, some of
20 them did say that, to your point, that they did not see the
21 shooter. I think there was only a couple of people who were on
22 that region of the golf course, from my understanding.

23 Q. Okay. So besides the Secret Service agent who swept the
24 course, are you aware of anybody else -- and obviously the
25 civilian who took the plate when the individual was crossing

1 the street -- are you aware of anyone else seeing the potential
2 shooter?

3 A. I personally am not.

4 Q. Okay. Where the firearm was recovered at the fence line to
5 where the green is on the fifth hole, what is that distance?

6 A. I would have to guess.

7 Q. What's your range?

8 A. I would say -- this is a guess. I mean, I have been out
9 there. I have seen it. I am going off of an eyeball. I know
10 our evidence folks are going to have a pretty accurate one, but
11 that would be on an official report.

12 You want me to guess?

13 Q. Yes, please. That's what I asked.

14 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, excuse me.

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MR. DISPOTO: I would ask the witness not to guess. I
17 think it's fair for him to make estimates based upon his own
18 personal knowledge, but guessing is just not sufficiently
19 reliable to provide any relevant testimony for this court. So
20 if the witness can estimate, that's fine, but I would ask the
21 court to instruct him not to guess.

22 MS. SIHVOLA: I will ask a followup question.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Ask your followup.

24 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

25 Q. Did you -- or do you know anyone with a range finder that

1 looked from where the firearm was located to the fifth hole
2 green?

3 A. I think you are referring to the sixth hole green.

4 Q. No. I am referring to the fifth hole green compared to
5 where the rifle was.

6 Did anyone ever use a range finder? Did you?

7 A. I did not. I am not sure if anybody did.

8 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anybody who measured that distance
9 at all?

10 A. I am not sure if that was measured.

11 Q. Okay. And you have looked at a map of this golf course,
12 correct?

13 A. I am looking at one right now.

14 Q. Okay. But you in the past had looked at one?

15 A. Earlier this week.

16 Q. Right. And when you looked at the map and spoke to other
17 individuals on scene, what would be your best educated guess in
18 terms of distance from rifle position to the sixth green?

19 A. You want me to estimate for the sixth green or the fifth
20 green?

21 Q. I'm sorry. From where the rifle position was to the green
22 on the fifth hole.

23 THE COURT: The green on the fifth hole, to be clear,
24 is the one at the top right of the photo, correct?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: The green on the sixth hole would be the
2 one much closer to the fence line, correct?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: So you are asking about the further-away
5 green, correct?

6 MS. SIHVOLA: Correct.

7 THE COURT: If you can estimate.

8 THE WITNESS: You want me to estimate?

9 THE COURT: Sure.

10 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

11 Q. Yes.

12 A. I don't even know if that's a par 4 or a par 5. I would be
13 estimating off of prior knowledge of golfing on an estimate
14 here.

15 THE COURT: If you can't give an estimate, then you
16 can't. But she's asking if you can, you can give it to me.
17 But if you feel you can't, then don't.

18 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I don't think I can
19 accurately estimate from looking at this.

20 MS. SIHVOLA: Then I will move on.

21 THE COURT: Go ahead.

22 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

23 Q. So I want to discuss whether or not this was a scheduled
24 appearance for Donald J. Trump.

25 Was it known that he would be at this golf course?

1 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

2 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, they are alleging the
3 attempted assassination, and so part of that would be whether
4 or not the public would have known if he would have been there
5 to potentially plan this.

6 THE COURT: What's your response, government?

7 MR. DISPOTO: Judge, this is calling for speculation
8 with respect to, even if it wasn't scheduled, whether Mr. Routh
9 based on his own personal surveillance would have seen a
10 caravan of vehicles pulling up to the golf course two hours
11 earlier. So whether it was planned or not planned is not
12 relevant.

13 MS. SIHVOLA: I believe that's a separate question
14 that the government may have for themselves, but my question is
15 whether or not it was a scheduled or planned event known to the
16 general public.

17 THE COURT: You can answer, if you know.

18 Overruled.

19 A. I am not aware if there was a public event that he was
20 attending. I don't know what -- I personally do not know what
21 his schedule was that day, and I do not know if it was of
22 public knowledge.

23 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

24 Q. Are you aware if that golf course had been swept by Secret
25 Service before former President Donald J. Trump got onto the

1 golf course?

2 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

4 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

5 Q. The first notification that you were ever aware of that
6 anybody saw a person near a firearm was based on that Secret
7 Service agent; is that correct?

8 A. Yes, ma'am.

9 Q. And that was only done, to your knowledge, when he was --

10 MS. SIHVOLA: Could I use "he"? Did we establish
11 gender? I'm sorry, your Honor. Did we establish gender?

12 THE COURT: Who are we talking about?

13 MS. SIHVOLA: The Secret Service agent. I can't
14 remember, but...

15 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

16 Q. He or she, when they were sweeping the sixth hole, that was
17 the first time that anybody had noticed this person with a
18 firearm; is that correct?

19 A. Yes. To my understanding, yes.

20 Q. Okay. The agent, according to the government's proffer,
21 first saw a partially obscured face of the man in the brush
22 line along the fence line, according to the proffer.

23 What part of the face did the agent see?

24 A. I am not aware of those details.

25 Q. Okay. Was there any post-incident identification from that

1 Secret Service agent regarding Mr. Routh?

2 A. Can you redo that question?

3 Q. After this all happened, did Mr. Routh and the Secret
4 Service agent ever meet each other where the Secret Service
5 agent says, yep, that's him?

6 A. There was a single photograph shown to the agent.

7 Q. Okay. And that single photograph would have been, like, a
8 prior booking photograph of Mr. Routh?

9 A. I am not sure exactly of the origin of the photograph that
10 they used.

11 Q. Okay. You don't know how old it is?

12 A. I am not aware of that.

13 Q. Okay. And are you aware of what the agent stated upon
14 looking at that photograph, if the agent was certain that it
15 was him or not certain that it was him?

16 A. We would have to review the 302 to get the exact wording on
17 what the agent stated.

18 Q. Okay. Do you know in general what the agent stated when
19 looking at a photograph of Mr. Routh?

20 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Asked and answered.

21 THE COURT: Can you answer it any more specifically?

22 A. To my understanding, he positively identified Mr. Routh as
23 being the person that he encountered in the tree line. I don't
24 know what the statement exactly was, but it was an affirmative
25 statement, to my understanding.

1 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

2 Q. Did the Secret Service agent previously give any
3 description of the person that he saw with the firearm?

4 A. Are you talking about prior to the interview or during the
5 interview?

6 Q. Prior, during the interview.

7 A. Can you give me that question again?

8 Q. Let me ask it this way.

9 So the Secret Service agent fires his weapon, gets on
10 the radio and says, I have just fired at a suspect who is
11 taking off, I fired at a gunman.

12 Does he ever give a description on the radio of the
13 gunman?

14 A. I am not sure one way or the other.

15 Q. Okay. Or before he looks at a picture of Mr. Routh, was he
16 ever given a description of the gunman?

17 A. I am not sure.

18 Q. Did the Secret Service agent and the gunman have any
19 conversation? Were any words exchanged between the two?

20 A. It's my understanding the Secret Service agent said
21 something to the individual. I don't know if it was just like
22 a "hey" or trying to get the person's attention. And I know he
23 says that the individual made some sort of grunting noise back
24 at least once, maybe twice, is my understanding from the
25 interview. I am not aware of any other dialogue between the

1 two.

2 Q. Okay. And besides running away, did the Secret Service
3 agent detail any of the movement of the person, like in terms
4 of standing up or rolling over or how they got up?

5 A. I am not aware of those details.

6 Q. Okay.

7 MS. SIHVOLA: If we could show Government Exhibit 2
8 very briefly.

9 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

10 Q. The government proffered that this rifle was in the line of
11 sight of the Secret Service agent, like pointed at him.

12 Do you know if that rifle had been raised, or had it
13 been in the same exact position that we see it in Exhibit No. 2
14 when the Secret Service agent saw the rifle?

15 A. You are referring -- yes. So the Secret Service agent did
16 make a statement to that effect. I am not sure of the
17 positioning of the rifle at that time.

18 Q. Okay. Are you aware if this rifle was stationary at the
19 time that the Secret Service agent had seen in it terms of it
20 wasn't lifted off the ground at all?

21 A. I just stated, I do not know how the rifle was oriented, if
22 it was laying down like this or if it was up. I know at one
23 point the Secret Service agent describes the rifle barrel
24 moving after -- as the agent is moving, he notices the rifle
25 barrel moving. But I do not have a greater description beyond

1 that statement.

2 Q. Okay. So movement, you don't know if it's to left or right
3 or up or down?

4 A. Correct. I do not have the knowledge of which way it was
5 being reoriented to.

6 Q. Or angle?

7 A. Correct. I do not know.

8 Q. So in this picture, we see this dirt path that the
9 government had proffered earlier.

10 Is that where the Secret Service agent had pulled up
11 on the golf cart?

12 A. Yes. So the top part of this photo is the dirt path, and,
13 to our understanding, that's the path that the Secret Service
14 agent took. So it can be assumed that it was right in that
15 general area that the cart path was going.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. The path of the agent's cart.

18 Q. So the cart path is only within a few feet of this fence?

19 A. It's further than a few feet. There is like another
20 subsection of trees, bushes, and then the cart path.

21 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, I am going to object just
22 for clarity purposes. We are making reference to a cart path,
23 and for those of us that play golf, cart path has a specific
24 significance.

25 I think the witness, though, is also talking about the

1 path of the cart of the Secret Service agent, which may be
2 different.

3 So when we talk about cart path, can we just specify
4 whether we are talking about the actual cart path or the path
5 of the cart that the Secret Service agent was taking.

6 MS. SIHVOLA: Sure. I will clarify.

7 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

8 Q. Agent, the Secret Service agent was not playing golf at
9 this time, is that correct, when he was sweeping for potential
10 threats?

11 A. That's my understanding.

12 Q. So his movement was not actually limited to this concrete
13 or asphalt cart path that goes around the sixth hole green; is
14 that right?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Okay. So I want to talk about physically where the agent
17 actually was. Okay?

18 So where -- how close -- can you estimate, based on
19 all of the people that you had spoken with and all the reports
20 that you have read, how physically close did the Secret
21 Service's cart come to this fence?

22 A. It would have been within a few feet of the fence. There
23 is a path that -- it's kind of like a dirt and grass path right
24 up against the fence line, which I was explaining is to the top
25 part of this photo.

1 I would say it's within 5 feet, most likely, would
2 have been to the fence as he was going west along the fence
3 line.

4 Q. Okay. And then, according to the government's proffer, he
5 gets off the cart and then fires several shots, right?

6 A. That is the sequence of events, yes.

7 Q. And so when he gets off the cart and then he fires the
8 shots, then he ducks behind a tree and reloads; is that
9 correct?

10 A. After firing shots he does seek cover behind a tree.

11 Q. And it's your understanding that nothing was ever fired at
12 him or her, at the agent?

13 A. That is to my understanding, yes.

14 Q. Did the agent ever see the clothing or body parts of the
15 person with the firearm?

16 A. The agent did get a look at some body parts because he had
17 at least a partial of the face at one point.

18 Q. Okay. And so when you say "partial of the face," which
19 part of the face?

20 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Asked and answered.

21 THE COURT: Sustained.

22 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

23 Q. For clothing, was there any description of the color that
24 the individual was wearing, color of clothing?

25 A. I would have to review the report or the statements from

1 the agent to get that answer.

2 Q. Okay. Are you aware if there was any that he or she
3 observed?

4 A. I can't give any specifics. I don't know.

5 Q. And based on your review from the information that you
6 examined from Mr. Routh and from Secret Service, there are no
7 links to Mr. Routh and Thomas Crooks; is that correct?

8 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

9 THE COURT: What's the relevance?

10 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, it goes to the government's
11 charge of attempted assassination of former President Donald J.
12 Trump, and it is relevant in terms of the time span between the
13 two events in terms of any potential linkage that they had or
14 did not have to each other.

15 THE COURT: What's the question again?

16 MS. SIHVOLA: Is there any link between Mr. Routh and
17 Mr. Thomas Crooks.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 Government, your response.

20 MR. DISPOTO: Thomas Crooks and the incident that
21 occurred on that prior occasion has nothing to do with this
22 case, and certainly the government has not proffered any
23 information in that regard. So this is completely irrelevant
24 to these proceedings.

25 THE COURT: Who is Mr. crooks?

1 MS. SIHVOLA: He was the one who shot former President
2 Donald J. Trump on July 13, 2024.

3 THE COURT: I am going to sustain that.

4 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

5 Q. So if we can turn to Exhibit 1 again. I want to talk about
6 the civilian who, according to the government's proffer, had
7 seen the gunman running across the street.

8 According to the government's proffer, the civilian
9 and who they allege to be Mr. Routh had looked at each other,
10 had made eye contact.

11 Did you review that civilian statement?

12 A. I am aware that the civilian made that statement, yes.

13 Q. And was the civilian parked near the vehicle that the
14 person had run across the street and got into?

15 A. To my understanding, I don't believe that the civilian was
16 parked. I believe that the vehicle was moving. I don't know
17 at some point if the civilian parked the vehicle at some point
18 or stopped.

19 Q. Okay. Do you know if the civilian was going westbound or
20 eastbound?

21 A. To my understanding, the civilian was going westbound at
22 one point and then made a U-turn, or turned around, and then
23 reoriented going eastbound.

24 Q. Okay. At the time that the civilian claims to have looked
25 at the person that they later identified as Thomas Routh, was

1 the person looking in their rearview mirror when they were
2 doing the U-turn at that time?

3 A. You are asking specifically if that eye contact was made
4 while they were looking in their rearview mirror?

5 Q. Correct.

6 A. I am not aware of that, no.

7 Q. So you are not aware if the person was going east or west
8 or making a U-turn when they were looking at the person running
9 across the street?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Okay. Was there any description that the civilian gave of
12 the person that they saw running into the vehicle?

13 A. You would have to review an official report for that.

14 Q. You are not aware?

15 A. I am not aware.

16 Q. The government's written proffer was that the person was
17 transported to -- actually, before that.

18 Did that civilian ever see any firearm?

19 A. I do not believe that they physically saw the firearm.

20 Q. Okay. The person who was running across the street, did
21 the civilian see them wearing any gloves?

22 A. I am aware that the civilian said something to the effect
23 that the individual ran across the street, placed a black
24 object into the sunroof, and then entered the black SUV before
25 leaving. But I am not sure if there was any statement about

1 gloves. I just don't know.

2 Q. Okay. Based on the search of the vehicle later on, do you
3 have any idea what the black object into the sunroof may have
4 been?

5 A. No, I am not.

6 Q. Did they give any further description of that object in
7 terms of size, shape?

8 A. I am not aware of it, but that's not to say that there
9 wasn't.

10 Q. And when you say they placed an object into the sunroof,
11 was that after the gunman went into the car or was on the
12 exterior part of the vehicle?

13 A. My understanding is that the civilian observed the
14 individual cross the street from outside the vehicle, set
15 something into the sunroof, and then enter the vehicle. That
16 was my interpretation of what I was told.

17 Q. Okay. Was anything ever collected from any of these
18 roadways that could have fallen off the vehicle?

19 MR. DISPOTO: Objection.

20 THE COURT: Response?

21 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, it goes to the physical
22 evidence linking -- that the government has proffered in terms
23 of the vehicle on scene which they are claiming is the same
24 vehicle that was found in Martin County.

25 THE COURT: You can answer.

1 A. Can you ask me that question again, please?

2 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

3 Q. Yes. To your knowledge, was there any evidence recovered
4 from any of these roadways from where the suspect vehicle was
5 parked initially to where Mr. Routh was stopped in Martin
6 County?

7 A. So any evidence collection, I am not sure of all the
8 evidence that was collected. I believe there was potentially
9 what appeared to be a red liquid substance, possibly blood,
10 that was in the street that was collected. But we do not know
11 if it had any bearing on this incident or if it was unrelated.

12 Q. Okay. But nothing beyond that?

13 A. Correct, to my knowledge.

14 Q. Okay. In the government's proffer they stated that the
15 civilian had been transported from the scene here in Palm Beach
16 County all the way up to Martin County; is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And that transportation was done by helicopter?

19 A. That is correct.

20 Q. And when the person got to Martin County, that person was
21 presented with Mr. Routh for a post-incident identification?

22 A. Yes. Mr. Routh was there. They were trying to identify if
23 the witness saw him, yes.

24 Q. Okay. And the witness did positively ID him; is that
25 correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. And did the witness express any certainty?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What was their level of certainty that they stated?

5 A. We would have to go back. I believe it's all on body
6 camera footage, the exact wording, but I know somewhere in that
7 statement 99.9 percent sure was relayed.

8 Q. And where was that person physically in terms of Mr. Routh?

9 Was that person in a vehicle when they picked up Mr. Routh?

10 A. My understanding is that they were in a vehicle to protect
11 the witness.

12 Q. And how far away was that vehicle from Mr. Routh when they
13 made that identification?

14 A. We would have to refer to an official report of body camera
15 footage for that.

16 Q. You were not aware if they were like a block away when they
17 made that identification?

18 A. That's correct, I am not aware.

19 Q. I want to discuss the firearm that was recovered in this
20 case.

21 Was there only one firearm recovered in this case?

22 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Is counsel referring to at
23 the scene or anywhere in any other locations?

24 I have no objection if it's asked about the scene, but
25 if it's other locations, that might be objectionable.

1 THE COURT: Is that your question?

2 MS. SIHVOLA: Let me narrow it down.

3 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

4 Q. At the golf course, was there any firearm recovered there
5 besides the one that we have a picture of?

6 A. The Secret Service agent's firearm, I am not sure if we
7 took it from the golf course area.

8 Q. So besides those two at the scene, any other firearms
9 recovered from the scene?

10 A. No, not to my knowledge. No.

11 Q. What about in Martin County when the vehicle was searched,
12 was there any firearms recovered from the vehicle?

13 A. No, there were not.

14 Q. Okay. The firearm that was found on scene here that we
15 have been talking about, it's an SKS style 7.62 x 39-caliber
16 rifle, correct?

17 A. It's an SKS style rifle.

18 Q. Right.

19 A. That's the ammunition that it takes.

20 Q. Right.

21 Now, the SKS doesn't normally come with a scope on it;
22 is that correct?

23 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Calls for speculation.

24 MS. SIHVOLA: If he is aware of firearms.

25 THE COURT: If you are aware, you can answer.

1 A. I have training and experience in firearms. I am not
2 well-versed in SKS style rifles to answer that question.

3 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

4 Q. Okay. From what you have seen, have you ever worked on
5 cases where an SKS is recovered?

6 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

7 MS. SIHVOLA: It goes to his knowledge.

8 THE COURT: I am going to sustain that.

9 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

10 Q. Have you ever worked cases where a rifle is ever recovered?

11 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

12 THE COURT: What's the point you are going to try to
13 make with this?

14 MS. SIHVOLA: So I want to go to the electrical tape
15 on the scope. So I will ask about that, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Just go to that, please.

17 MS. SIHVOLA: Okay.

18 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

19 Q. So the government had proffered earlier that this was a
20 sniper position, war zone, and this is a firearm and ammunition
21 from military around the world.

22 What military uses electrical tape around an SKS to
23 tape their scope on?

24 MR. DISPOTO: Objection.

25 THE COURT: What's the relevance there?

1 MR. DISPOTO: That wasn't proffered.

2 THE COURT: What's the point, though, at the end of
3 the day? What's the point you are trying to make?

4 MS. SIHVOLA: The electrical tape on the scope, I am
5 asking detailed questions because the government is
6 representing that this instrument was being used for
7 assassination purposes, and they are alluding that this is
8 military grade quality that you find in war zones, and it's
9 frankly not.

10 MR. DISPOTO: The proffer had nothing to do with the
11 tape itself. It was about the firearm.

12 THE COURT: I understand, but --

13 MR. DISPOTO: She is asking about tape.

14 MS. SIHVOLA: I am asking about the quality of the
15 firearm itself, the quality of the scope on the firearm,
16 whether it would have made much of a difference at all.

17 THE COURT: But why is that relevant? In other words,
18 the point you may be making is that to the extent he was trying
19 to be an assassin, he was not a very good one? Is that the
20 point?

21 MS. SIHVOLA: The capability of it.

22 THE COURT: That he doesn't know what he is doing?

23 MS. SIHVOLA: Just the capability of it, your Honor,
24 in terms of an actual specific intent used for attempted
25 assassination.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand the point you are trying to make, but I am going to sustain the objection. I think you can just argue that later.

BY MS. SIHVOLA:

Q. The scope itself, are you able to say if there was any difference between electrical tape versus an actual side mounting in terms of the angle of the scope?

A. I am not sure. I don't know how to answer your question.

Q. Okay.

THE COURT: I think you are trying to make the point that the scope would not have worked well.

MS. SIHVOLA: That the angle for electrical tape is different than actual side mounting or mounting properly of a scope.

THE COURT: Just to short-circuit this, it's obvious that the scope is taped to the firearm, and we can all draw conclusions about whether that would have worked well or not. So I am not going to allow any more questions on this. So move to your next point.

BY MS. SIHVOLA:

Q. The government proffered that there were 11 rounds in the magazine clip.

How many does that hold?

A. I don't have that answer. I don't know the exact capacity of the magazine.

1 Q. In your investigation into Mr. Routh, had you made any
2 determination if Mr. Routh had any actual training in firearms
3 or experience with them, like in terms of professional training
4 with them in any capacity?

5 A. I am aware that it was relayed to me that we did have
6 information that he had some sort of familiarity with firearms,
7 but I cannot tell you the exact source of that or where that
8 came from exactly as I sit here today.

9 Q. Okay. What about firearm certifications in terms of, you
10 know, in the State of Florida you have to be -- well, you used
11 to, but some of us have license to carry, or we have our
12 sportsman's license, or firearms license, just specifically, or
13 hunting license, where there is some type of training involved
14 in firearms.

15 Are you aware of any of that in regards to Mr. Routh?

16 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

17 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, again, it goes to intent, it
18 goes to the attempted assassination, if this is an individual
19 who is trained in firearms, who can meet that specific intent,
20 versus a person who is less knowledgeable. And it goes to the
21 government's representation of his danger to the community.

22 THE COURT: I hear you. I am going to let it go a
23 little further, but, again, I am not interested in questions
24 about his level of skill and carrying out these acts so much.

25 MS. SIHVOLA: This would be the final question in

1 regards to this.

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

3 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

4 Q. Are you aware of any specific certifications that he's held
5 in any state across America at any point regarding his
6 proficiency into a firearm, such as all of those that I had
7 previously listed?

8 A. I personally am not aware of him having any certifications.

9 Q. Okay. I want to move on now to the box that the government
10 had proffered about.

11 And in the box, there was just one letter?

12 A. I have not gotten to look at the box myself. I am taking
13 these facts from other agents. I am not sure how many letters
14 were in the box. I am aware that there was a letter in the
15 box, though.

16 Q. Okay. The letter in the box that the government had used
17 as Exhibit Number --

18 THE COURT: 6.

19 MS. SIHVOLA: Exhibit No. 6, if we could pull that up
20 very briefly.

21 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

22 Q. Have you read Government's Exhibit No. 6?

23 A. I have read this letter, yes.

24 Q. Okay. Now, in evidence we only have one page of it.

25 How many pages was it?

1 A. I am not sure how many pages it is in full. I am going
2 to -- I believe it's two, but I am not 100 percent on that.

3 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any letters being more than two
4 pages?

5 THE COURT: Any letters found in the box?

6 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes.

7 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

8 Q. Yes, found in the box that we are talking about, being more
9 than two pages long.

10 A. Again, I have not actually gotten to see this box or the
11 letters.

12 Q. But you had discussed your testimony today, you discussed
13 the proffer with the government before you testified today to
14 prepare yourself for this testimony, correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And part of the proffer, including in their written proffer
17 agreement that you read, was this letter; is that right?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Okay. And upon reading that proffer, knowing that that
20 information would be given to Judge McCabe today, you made
21 yourself aware of that letter, right?

22 A. Correct. I already stated that I read this letter.

23 Q. You read this letter in particular?

24 A. This photograph that you were looking at, yes.

25 Q. Okay. So this is only one page of that letter, correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. This is not the full letter, right?

3 So in addition to this one page, did you read any
4 other pages of this letter?

5 A. My recollection is that there is another page. I would
6 have to review the information from the other agents, though,
7 to get that.

8 Q. Let me ask you this. Was there any information in this
9 letter in particular that there was a planning of failing or
10 information that this would not have worked?

11 THE COURT: You mean --

12 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

13 Q. The assassination attempt would not work, it would fail,
14 this person would be held in custody.

15 THE COURT: You are asking him whether on the second
16 page of this letter there was any such indication?

17 MS. SIHVOLA: Any part of the letter in any box -- or
18 this letter on the second page, et cetera, was there any
19 information on the second page of this letter that the
20 assassination attempt would fail.

21 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, I am going to object because
22 I don't believe counsel has established the witness is even
23 familiar with the contents of the second page.

24 THE COURT: Let's let him answer.

25 You can answer. I am overruling the objection. You

1 can answer, if you can.

2 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't be able to tell you what was
3 on the second page as I sit here now. I'd have to review the
4 letter.

5 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

6 Q. If I showed you a copy of it, would that refresh your
7 memory?

8 A. Yes, it would.

9 MS. SIHVOLA: Just for memory, recollection purposes,
10 I am showing him the other page.

11 May I approach the witness?

12 THE COURT: Yes. But to the extent you are asking him
13 to opine what's on the first page of the letter, of course we
14 can all draw our own conclusions about that.

15 I think your question is, you are going to show him
16 that to refresh his recollection, whether he can remember any
17 other portions or any other letters that might indicate that
18 the defendant intended the attempt to fail.

19 Is that the question?

20 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes, your Honor.

21 I will just clarify for the court. The prosecution
22 has given us subsequent pages to this letter, and that's what I
23 want to show to the agent.

24 THE COURT: You are showing him the other pages?

25 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

2 MS. SIHVOLA: May I approach?

3 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

4 Q. If you could read over those other pages and let me know if
5 that refreshes your memory. And read to it yourself, please.

6 A. Do you want me to read this in full?

7 THE COURT: Tell us whether your memory has been
8 refreshed, and then you can answer her question, but don't just
9 read the letter into the record.

10 THE WITNESS: Okay.

11 (Witness perusing document.)

12 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

13 Q. And, sir, were those additional pages of that letter?

14 A. It appears so, yes.

15 Q. Okay. And the pages are marked on the top right corner 8
16 and 11?

17 A. That's what it looks like here.

18 Q. So you would agree with me that the contents of this letter
19 discuss his failed attempt, and it would "at least make a few
20 people stop and think for a moment how wonderful our freedom
21 and democracy are."

22 Correct?

23 A. That's what it looks like it says here.

24 Q. Okay. "If we can set aside the normal day-to-day luxury
25 life for a moment and get active in helping preserve our

1 wonderful country."

2 Correct?

3 A. It looks like that's what it says here on the bottom of the
4 second page.

5 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Are you offering those other
6 pages in, or you are just relying upon his testimony of what he
7 remembers from it?

8 MS. SIHVOLA: At this point, we will just rely on the
9 testimony, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: That's fine.

11 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

12 Q. You would agree with me that on the third page of this
13 document, or in some point in the contents of this document,
14 that the author discusses, "While I sit at the big house, I am
15 willing to pay the price," et cetera. "Even if I fail yet
16 again," and then there was talk about "please come visit me
17 with letters to help pass the time."

18 Is that correct?

19 A. I mean, I see the part about "pay that price." You can
20 point me to the other parts that you just read, but...

21 Q. You agree that in this letter it discusses failed attempts,
22 right?

23 A. Yeah. The word "failed" is in here a couple of times.

24 Q. Okay. And "attempt" is, right, "failed attempt?"

25 A. It appears he is discussing the failed attempt, yes.

1 Q. And for this letter itself, was any handwriting done,
2 analysis, just on this letter itself?

3 A. No, there has not been a handwriting comparison or
4 anything.

5 Q. Okay. And are you aware if there are other pages to this
6 letter that we just don't have at this point?

7 A. I am not aware.

8 Q. When I say "this point" --

9 A. One way or the other, I don't know either way.

10 Q. So you had been referring to federal reports that were made
11 that you had reviewed.

12 You would agree that right now at this point the
13 defense doesn't have access to those reports that you are
14 relying on; is that correct?

15 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

16 MS. SIHVOLA: In terms of my ability to show him these
17 documents, your Honor, I don't have that ability. This is
18 basically the only information that we have to refresh his
19 memory.

20 THE COURT: Well, I think the court understands that
21 point, but you can answer.

22 Go ahead.

23 THE WITNESS: Can you ask that question again, please?

24 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

25 Q. So previously, on numerous occasions throughout your

1 testimony, you kept referring to look at these reports, see
2 these reports.

3 All of those reports that you have mentioned, the
4 defense doesn't have access to them at this time; is that
5 correct?

6 A. I don't believe you guys have received any reports, no.

7 Q. Okay. So all of those reports in evidence, that's in the
8 federal government property without the ability for the defense
9 to bring that to your attention right now; is that fair to say?

10 A. There are some reports that are in there, yes.

11 Q. I'm sorry. Some reports that are?

12 A. There are some reports in the government's custody, yes.

13 We are still finalizing some reports. There's some that are
14 finalized.

15 Q. They are not in defense possession or custody or ability to
16 access at this time; is that fair to say?

17 A. To my knowledge, yes.

18 Q. Thank you.

19 On the first page of the letter there's mention of
20 \$150,000.

21 Are you aware of Mr. Routh's finances, whether or not
22 he actually has access to \$150,000?

23 A. I am aware we have financial information. I am not aware
24 of what's in the financial information. I have not reviewed
25 it.

1 Q. Okay. So I want to turn to the serial number on the rifle
2 at scene.

3 Was the federal government able to read any of those
4 serial numbers?

5 A. From the photo that's up here?

6 Q. Yes.

7 MS. SIHVOLA: If we can go to Government's Exhibit No.
8 4, please.

9 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

10 Q. Was the federal government ever able to read any of those
11 serial numbers?

12 A. Ever able to read them?

13 Q. Yes. Did you use any assistance, like electrochemical
14 action, magnetization?

15 A. My understanding is that the lab was able to recover eight
16 out of the nine characters.

17 Q. Okay. And based on the eight out of the nine characters
18 and the model and make of the rifle, were you able to do any
19 trace of it or anything like that?

20 A. I would say that's ongoing at this time.

21 Q. Okay. So you are not aware of the origin of this firearm
22 in terms of where it was acquired from?

23 A. I would think that that's still ongoing, the trace that you
24 are speaking of.

25 Q. Okay. Are you able to ascertain if this firearm was used

1 in any other offense?

2 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

3 MS. SIHVOLA: It goes to identity of who had this
4 firearm.

5 THE COURT: I think you have already testified that
6 the trace is ongoing. So my belief would be you are probably
7 going to say you don't know. Is that right?

8 THE WITNESS: That's correct. It's ongoing. I don't
9 think we have established that information one way or the
10 other.

11 MS. SIHVOLA: I will move on.

12 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

13 Q. I want to talk about the stop of Mr. Routh in Martin
14 County.

15 You said that you were able to review that body camera
16 footage.

17 A. I was able to review --

18 Q. Some --

19 A. -- some portions of it. There was a lot of body camera
20 footage.

21 Q. There was a little bit of the footage that was released by
22 Martin County on Facebook.

23 Would you agree with me that when Mr. Routh was
24 stopped, he was instructed to put his hands outside of the
25 vehicle?

1 A. I am not aware of the commands that were given during that
2 time frame. I did see some images and some video of officers,
3 you know, conducting a felony car stop or a high-risk car stop.

4 Q. Would you agree with me that the officers issued commands
5 for him to exit the vehicle and back up?

6 A. I didn't review the commands. I didn't watch that portion
7 of it to hear exactly what the commands were.

8 Q. You were aware commands were issued, and Mr. Routh followed
9 all of those commands; is that fair to say?

10 A. To my understanding, the subject did comply with commands
11 overall.

12 Q. You are not aware of Mr. Routh running from the police
13 after he stepped out of the vehicle?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Fighting with the police of any manner?

16 A. Right.

17 Q. You are not aware of any endangerment of the vehicle to
18 other drivers on 95?

19 A. I don't know exactly how he was driving on 95. But I was
20 not aware of any injuries or anything like that that happened.

21 Q. There were no other civilian calls of this vehicle in
22 question doing any erratic driving; is that fair to say?

23 A. Not to my knowledge.

24 Q. Okay.

25 MS. SIHVOLA: If we could pull up Government Exhibit 5

1 that has the map.

2 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

3 Q. Would you agree with me that in this map in
4 Government Exhibit 5, that these time frames are approximately
5 correct, that the suspect fled at approximately 1:31 p.m. and
6 was arrested at approximately 2:15 p.m.?

7 A. Approximate.

8 Q. And you would agree with me that a person driving from
9 Trump International Golf Club could reach where Mr. Routh was
10 stopped close to Exit 110, Martin Highway, who was driving the
11 speed limit?

12 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Calls for speculation.

13 THE COURT: What's your response?

14 MS. SIHVOLA: Let me clarify if he's ever made that
15 drive before.

16 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

17 Q. Agent, have you ever made the drive from West Palm to
18 Martin County before?

19 A. I have. There's several different exits, though, depending
20 on time frame and whatnot. Again, it would -- there's going to
21 be a big estimate here.

22 Q. Okay. But you would agree with me that a person could
23 reasonably get from the Palm Beach County area to Martin County
24 in approximately that time frame of 45 minutes?

25 MR. DISPOTO: Again, your Honor, calls for speculation

1 and relevance.

2 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, the government is -- one of
3 their allegations for pretrial detention is flight, and so I
4 think path of travel, manner of travel, that there was no
5 erratic driving, no actual speeding, as there was also a claim
6 of the person going at a high rate of speed. And I have made
7 this drive myself, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Is your point merely that you can get from
9 one point to the other without going at an excessive rate of
10 speed?

11 MS. SIHVOLA: Correct.

12 THE COURT: I myself have made the drive, and I will
13 take judicial notice of that.

14 MS. SIHVOLA: Thank you, your Honor. Moving on.

15 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

16 Q. In the phone analysis, you said that the phones in the
17 vehicle were still being analyzed and you don't have a full
18 analysis back; is that right?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. Okay. And according to one Google search on the phone, the
21 government proffered that there was information on how to
22 travel from Palm Beach County to Mexico; is that correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. Do you know when that search was made?

25 A. I am not sure as I sit here today what date that was.

1 Q. Okay. Were there other Google searches on the phones?

2 A. There were other Google searches.

3 Q. What were those of?

4 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

5 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, the government proffered one
6 Google search as evidence, to show evidence of Mr. Routh's
7 flight risk. I think it's important to know the context of the
8 total number of searches on that phone in terms of directions
9 to different locations, when they were made. If it was made
10 five years ago, it's frankly not relevant.

11 THE COURT: I hear you.

12 Agent, you can answer in general, but I don't want to
13 go too deep down the rabbit hole of all the searches that may
14 have been made on this phone.

15 MS. SIHVOLA: So let me clarify.

16 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

17 Q. In terms of directions or plugging in other areas, were
18 there other areas that were searched in terms of directions to
19 get there, if you are aware?

20 A. I am not sure of the extent of general directions that are
21 programmed into the phone. I know that there was the search
22 that you are speaking of from Palm Beach to Mexico. I believe
23 there was one other one from Miami to Mexico in the same
24 general time frame. But outside of that --

25 Q. But you don't know when that time frame is?

1 A. It was -- I can give you an approximate.

2 Q. Is it a guess? Because we don't want you to guess. So
3 let's move on.

4 The cell phone towers for the two phones, the
5 government proffered that between August 15 and September 15
6 that two of the phones found in the vehicle were near cell
7 phone towers near Trump International Golf Course and former
8 President Donald J. Trump's former residence at Mar-a-Lago; is
9 that correct?

10 A. Was it the 15th or the 18th?

11 Q. 15th.

12 THE COURT: Well, whatever the proffer was.

13 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

14 Q. The government had proffered in their written proffer: "On
15 multiple days and times from August 18th until September 15th,
16 Routh's cell phone accessed cell towers located near the Trump
17 International and former President's residence at Mar-a-Lago."

18 A. So it's the 18th, yes.

19 Q. August 18th to September 15, correct.

20 So how many days from that month, we will just say a
21 month time period, did the cell tower hit near the cell phone
22 tower that was close to Mar-a-Lago?

23 A. I can't give you a number on that.

24 Q. Could it have been one time?

25 A. It could have been one time; it could have been more.

1 Q. The cell phone tower, what is the range of that tower?

2 A. You would have to --

3 MR. DISPOTO: Objection, Judge. If the witness can
4 even testify to that.

5 MS. SIHVOLA: If he has knowledge.

6 THE COURT: If you know.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't have the exact knowledge on the
8 distance.

9 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

10 Q. Are you aware that cell phone towers in general can cover
11 miles of area?

12 A. I am aware that there are some ways of getting the data
13 where it would encompass a large radius. I know there is other
14 data that you can get that is very precise.

15 Q. Do you know if this is precise data or if this is general
16 cell phone towers?

17 A. My understanding is that these are precise.

18 Q. Okay. So you would agree with me it's not GPS data?

19 A. I don't think that I am able to give you an answer on that.
20 I don't know what pings we actually have here.

21 Q. So you are just not knowledgeable about the range of these
22 towers or any precision, in terms of location, where these cell
23 phones would have been in contact in terms of proximity to cell
24 towers?

25 A. Across the board I am not going to be able to give you down

1 to a radius on how precise or not precise they are, no.

2 Q. Okay. And you don't know how many other businesses could
3 be encompassed in this range as well?

4 A. No.

5 Q. I know I asked you about Mar-a-Lago. What about the Trump
6 International Golf Course. How many times did that ping near
7 those cell phone towers?

8 A. I know there were several.

9 Q. Okay. So beyond several you can't estimate a specific
10 number?

11 A. I don't want to give a specific number, no.

12 Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that Trump International
13 is located near major roads in West Palm; is that correct?
14 Summit and Congress are major roads in West Palm Beach?

15 A. You are talking about Trump International Golf Course?

16 Q. The golf course, correct.

17 A. I mean it's, yeah, at the intersection of Summit and
18 Congress.

19 Q. So you would agree with me that many people who travel in
20 West Palm would also be connecting to those towers if they are
21 driving past on Summit and Congress?

22 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Calls for speculation and
23 relevance.

24 THE COURT: I understand the point.

25 The point is that these are major roads, correct?

1 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes.

2 THE COURT: All right. I am going to sustain the
3 objection, but I understand the point. You can make that
4 argument as part of your argument.

5 Go ahead.

6 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

7 Q. There were 12 gloves that were found in the vehicle.

8 Were those work gloves?

9 A. I understand that there was a different description on
10 several different gloves in the inventory. I am not able to
11 tell you exactly which gloves are what or what those
12 descriptions were, but I know there were several different
13 types of gloves, from my understanding.

14 Q. Okay. And there is no evidence that any gloves were used
15 in this case; is that fair to say? They could have just been
16 for work purposes for all we know?

17 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Calls for speculation.

18 MS. SIHVOLA: If he is aware if there's any evidence
19 connecting these gloves to the shooter.

20 THE COURT: Go ahead.

21 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

22 Q. Are you aware if there's any evidence connecting these
23 gloves to the shooter?

24 A. Are you saying did anybody see the shooter wearing gloves?

25 Q. Or any physical evidence connecting them to the scene,

1 anything that the federal government has that you are aware of
2 connecting these gloves to the shooter -- or, I'm sorry, the
3 person was with the firearm?

4 A. I mean, they were in the vehicle.

5 Q. Right. So besides being in the vehicle, there was no
6 evidence that gloves were on scene or being used by the person
7 with the rifle?

8 A. I am not sure if we have any DNA or fingerprint hits off of
9 anything on those gloves, if that's what you are asking.

10 Q. I am asking if there's any evidence that there was any
11 gloves ever used by the person with the gun.

12 A. I don't think anyone saw the person in question with
13 gloves, or I don't think that was even noted.

14 Q. In the vehicle itself, the federal government has recovered
15 Mr. Routh's passport and driver's license; is that correct?

16 A. Inside the vehicle, yes.

17 Q. Yes. And these are valid documents?

18 A. To my knowledge, yes, I believe so.

19 Q. They are not false identification?

20 A. I haven't actually seen them or put hands on them. It
21 hasn't been relayed to me that they are false.

22 Q. Let me ask you this. Is there any evidence that Mr. Routh
23 possessed any false identification whatsoever?

24 A. Not that I am aware of.

25 Q. Okay. The documents that were found in the vehicle, there

1 was notes about August, September, and October of 2024 of
2 venues where former President Donald J. Trump appeared or was
3 expected to appear.

4 What were those venues?

5 MR. DISPOTO: Objection. Relevance.

6 THE COURT: What is the relevance?

7 MS. SIHVOLA: Your Honor, for the alleged
8 assassination attempt, the federal government is using this --
9 first of all, this was their proffer, and I am asking details
10 about something that they already proffered about. So it's
11 inappropriate for them to proffer and to preclude the defense
12 from asking details about their proffer.

13 But second of all, for the attempted assassination
14 they are alleging that Mr. Routh was documenting where
15 President Trump was to potentially be at these areas, to do
16 surveillance. So we have a right to know what those areas were
17 and if there's any accuracy to what the government is
18 purporting, to knowledge of surveillance, is it even accurate,
19 was he even there.

20 THE COURT: I will allow it, but I don't want to go
21 too far with this because, again, this is -- I am allowing you
22 to inquire into the strength of the evidence, but we are
23 getting -- and I understand the government made a wide-ranging
24 proffer, which is why I am letting you go so far, but let's
25 limit it, please.

1 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes, your Honor.

2 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

3 Q. So for these documents, in terms of the venues where former
4 President Donald J. Trump either appeared or was expected to
5 appear, that were written down for October, September -- it
6 would have been October and September -- did former President
7 Donald J. Trump actually appear at any of those venues?

8 A. I am not sure if he actually appeared at those venues. I
9 don't know.

10 Q. Do you have any evidence at all that Mr. Routh went to any
11 of those?

12 A. I personally -- as I sit here today, I am not sure of that
13 answer.

14 Q. Okay. Do you know the number of venues that had been
15 written down?

16 A. I would need to refresh my memory for an exact number.

17 Q. Can you give an educated estimate based on the reports?

18 A. I think there was, from my recollection, maybe four or five
19 line items that were written, that I think that's what you are
20 referencing.

21 Q. In the notebook that was recovered, there was information
22 about Ukraine and criticizing China and Russia, like
23 anticomunist, pro-democracy philosophy; is that correct?

24 A. I would need to review those to give you the exact of what
25 was put on there. I know there was like a fight for Ukraine

1 written on there several times, and then the names and phone
2 numbers, like you already stated.

3 Q. Okay. In the government's written proffer, they stated a
4 notebook with dozens of pages filled with names and phone
5 numbers pertaining to Ukraine, discussions on how to join
6 combat on behalf of Ukraine, and notes criticizing the
7 governments of Russia and China.

8 Is that correct, that there were notes criticizing the
9 governments of Russia and China?

10 A. I believe so. I would have to reference the notes again to
11 give you an exact on that.

12 Q. All of this information is in possession of the federal
13 government; is that correct?

14 A. Those notes, yes.

15 Q. Yes. Not in the possession of the defense to be able to
16 show you these to refresh your memory; is that fair to say?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. There was a discussion in their proffer, both in the
19 written proffer and their oral proffer today, about Mr. Routh's
20 e-book, right?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And there was a snippet of quotes that the government had
23 recited.

24 Now, that e-book was close to 300 pages; is that
25 correct?

1 A. I am not sure how many pages it was. I believe it was a
2 full book, though.

3 Q. And in the book, he talked about the purpose of that book,
4 right?

5 A. I have not reviewed the book.

6 Q. Okay. You would agree with me that it was published on
7 Amazon last year, in February of 2023?

8 A. I know it was sold on Amazon, yes.

9 Q. Okay. Are you aware if it was sold on Amazon for more than
10 a year or so?

11 A. I don't know the time frame.

12 Q. Okay. You would agree with me that a lot of the book
13 focused on Ukraine?

14 MR. DISPOTO: Objection, Judge. The witness has
15 already testified he has not reviewed the book.

16 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

17 Q. Based on reports of other individuals who talked to you
18 about the book.

19 THE COURT: Can you answer the question?

20 A. I am familiar with the statement that was in -- that you
21 are referencing, the quote that we have put in there. I am
22 aware of that.

23 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

24 Q. You are aware of what the government -- the one quote out
25 of the entire book that the government proffered, that's what

1 you are aware of, right?

2 A. I am aware of that, yes.

3 Q. Okay. Beyond that one quote in this entire book, you are
4 not aware of anything else?

5 A. Not really. I did not review the book.

6 Q. Okay. Did they show you the quote from the book, or did
7 they just tell you that there is a quote in the book?

8 A. No. I reviewed a report that law enforcement put into a
9 report that had either a summary or the quote.

10 Q. Okay. So I want to talk to you about the report that you
11 got that is a summary of the book.

12 So this report that you reviewed, that the defense
13 does not have a copy of, did this report include information
14 about Routh's discussing world leaders, how to set an example
15 of unselfishness and kindness and caring, to sit on the dirt
16 floor with the most common struggling souls, and share their
17 pain and try to make their lives better?

18 A. I am aware of the quote that we have already previously
19 discussed. That's what I reviewed out of the report.

20 Q. So you read one sentence out of the entire report. You
21 didn't read any of the rest of the report where he is
22 discussing the global importance --

23 THE COURT: I am going to stop you to short-circuit
24 this. He said he hasn't read the rest of the book.

25 You are welcome to proffer sections of the book to me

1 when it's your turn for purposes of this detention hearing, but
2 let's not keep asking him about it.

3 MS. SIHVOLA: Yes, your Honor.

4 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

5 Q. Are you aware of the suspect ever using any camouflage to
6 hide their appearance of any nature in terms of camo fatigues,
7 clothing, pants, anything like that?

8 MR. DISPOTO: Objection, Judge. Is counsel referring
9 to the date of his arrest or some other prior occasion?

10 MS. SIHVOLA: On the day that this all occurred.

11 MR. DISPOTO: I withdraw the objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not believe camouflage was worn.

13 BY MS. SIHVOLA:

14 Q. Okay. And you would agree with me that when Mr. Routh was
15 arrested, he had on kind of like a pink shirt, like an orangey,
16 pinkish shirt?

17 A. Yes.

18 MS. SIHVOLA: The court's indulgence.

19 No further questions, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Thank you.

21 Government, do you need to redirect?

22 MR. DISPOTO: Thank you, Judge.

23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. DISPOTO:

25 Q. Good morning, agent.

1 A. Good morning.

2 Q. Actually, good afternoon now.

3 A. We are in afternoon.

4 Q. Agent Hull, I want to begin my redirect by asking you a
5 little bit about the information that you have reviewed prior
6 to coming into court today.

7 How would you describe, I guess first and foremost,
8 the size of this investigation, as far as the number of law
9 enforcement agents and agencies that are currently working this
10 case?

11 A. It is substantial. It is a very large amount of law
12 enforcement that is investigating this and a lot of information
13 coming in.

14 Q. And how active of an investigation would you say this
15 matter is?

16 A. Very active. Around the clock. I have essentially been
17 working this around the clock, aside from a few hours of sleep
18 here and there.

19 Q. You mentioned earlier that this investigation is now
20 approximately eight days old; is that correct?

21 A. Approximately, yes.

22 Q. And are there many agents employed by the FBI as well as
23 other law enforcement agencies that are collaborating with each
24 other to actively collect information relevant to this
25 investigation?

1 A. Yes, there is.

2 Q. How does that information typically come to you? Does it
3 come to you verbally, or in written form, or some other method?

4 A. I would say a little bit of both, but primarily, since it
5 is such an active, fast-paced investigation, I have been
6 getting a lot of this verbally.

7 Q. How would you describe, by the way, your role in the
8 investigation? Are you one of the lead case agents of this
9 investigation?

10 A. Yes, I am.

11 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier -- and there's been a lot
12 of questions propounded to you about reports. Can you tell us
13 typically, report writing, does that happen instantaneously or
14 is that a fluid process that takes time to complete?

15 A. It's a fluid process definitely depending on what it is
16 that they are reporting. It can take some time, though. It's
17 fluid.

18 Q. And in this case, have some reports been prepared?

19 A. Yes, there has.

20 Q. And are there many reports that either have not been
21 prepared yet or have not been finalized?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Of the reports that exist, I believe you indicated that to
24 the best of your ability you have reviewed them in preparation
25 for court here today?

1 A. I have reviewed a lot of reports, as many as I can with the
2 short amount of time, plus all the information I am verbally
3 taking in as well.

4 Q. And I believe you had indicated, though, earlier that most
5 of the information that has come to your attention has come
6 verbally; is that right?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Let's talk about the letter from the box.

9 MR. DISPOTO: Could we pull up that exhibit.

10 BY MR. DISPOTO:

11 Q. I see that in Government Exhibit 6, defense counsel had
12 questioned you quite a bit about this letter.

13 Do you recall those questions?

14 A. Yes, I do.

15 Q. I believe she asked you not only about its content but also
16 about the number of pages of this letter.

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. I see that this appears to be a photograph of the letter,
19 not obviously the actual letter itself; is that right?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. Does the FBI here in South Florida have in its possession
22 this actual letter yet?

23 A. We do not.

24 Q. Okay. So the information that you receive regarding this
25 letter was actually a photograph of portions of this letter,

1 correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Do you know if the FBI has currently in its possession a
4 photograph of each and every page of this letter?

5 A. I am not sure if we do. It's possible, but I am not aware
6 one way or the other.

7 Q. Is the FBI in the process of trying to get the actual
8 letter here, as far as you know?

9 A. I believe that is the plan.

10 Q. Now, in light of the fact that the FBI does not currently
11 have the actual letter in its possession, are you able to
12 testify as to all of the details of its contents?

13 A. The FBI down here in Miami does not have the letter. So I
14 am not able to give you a full scale of what this letter is.

15 Q. You had mentioned earlier, agent, that you are firearm
16 trained; is that correct?

17 A. I have received firearm training, yes.

18 Q. And I believe while you may not necessarily be an expert in
19 the firearm capabilities of the weapon that was seized in this
20 case, are you familiar, from your training and experience, as
21 to the capacity of the firearm that was seized on the fence
22 line in this matter?

23 A. The fact that it was a rifle, yes.

24 Q. Okay. And are you familiar, from your training and
25 experience, whether that is a firearm that could strike a

1 target at a far distance?

2 A. I am aware that a rifle has a great distance that it is
3 effective up to.

4 Q. And in your training and experience, does the use of a
5 scope on a firearm allow a firearm's user a greater ability to
6 hit a target at a far distance or a lesser ability to do so?

7 A. A scope typically should give you a greater ability.

8 Q. I know you were reluctant earlier to estimate the distance
9 between the fence line and the fifth hole on the Trump
10 International Golf Course, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. I want to ask you about the distance between the fence line
13 and the putting green on the sixth hole. Okay?

14 Did you go to Trump International and view the scene?

15 A. I did.

16 Q. Did you have an opportunity to closely examine with your
17 own eyes the distance between the fence line where the
18 individual was seen by the Secret Service agent and the green
19 on the sixth hole?

20 A. Yes, I was able to see that distance.

21 Q. Okay. Before I ask you to estimate for us that distance,
22 let me ask you this.

23 Were there any tree lines or bushes -- I think you
24 might have mentioned earlier that there was a line of bushes
25 that was located between the fence where the individual was

1 seen that the Secret Service agent fired upon and the sixth
2 hole; is that right?

3 A. Yes. There is another line from east to west of bushes
4 from that fence line.

5 Q. So that would have been between the sixth green and the
6 fence line, correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. And did you have an opportunity when you went to the scene
9 to look at that line of vegetation?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Was there a portion of that line of vegetation between the
12 fence line and the sixth green that had a noticeable opening
13 that contained less vegetation than other areas along that
14 line?

15 A. Yes, there was.

16 Q. Okay. And where was that line in relation to where the
17 Secret Service agent reported that he saw the individual with
18 the rifle on the other side of the fence?

19 A. From the vantage point of generally where we found the
20 firearm, the rifle that was laid up against the fence, that
21 vantage point was relatively more open compared to a lot of
22 other parts of that brush line, if that's what you are
23 referring to.

24 Q. Yes. Okay. So did you have an opportunity to go down to
25 the fence line where the individual with the rifle was reported

1 to be, had to have been located, and look directly to the hole
2 on the sixth green?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And was your obstruction, even if -- well, let me ask you
5 this.

6 Did you bend down to a low vantage point to determine
7 whether there was any obstructions to your ability to see the
8 sixth green?

9 A. I did bend down and saw the vantage point from a lower
10 angle, yes.

11 Q. Were there any obstructions to your view of the sixth hole
12 from that vantage point?

13 A. It was generally an open view. There may have been one,
14 like very minimal on, like, twigs or leaves, but nothing that
15 would obscure or view of the hole. You were able to clearly
16 see the flagstick on the hole.

17 Q. Based on your training and experience in firearms as well
18 as your familiarity with this type of weapon, would there have
19 been any obstructions to the ability of a person to fire a shot
20 from that fence line to the sixth green from the vantage point
21 that you observed?

22 A. Based on my experience with firearms, I do not believe
23 anything would have obstructed.

24 Q. And how far would you estimate that the sixth green, the
25 putting green on the sixth hole, was located from the fence

1 line where Mr. Routh was allegedly perched at the time he was
2 fired upon by the Secret Service agent?

3 A. I mean, it would be an estimate. I do know that we will
4 have an official, like, at a later date.

5 If I was going to ballpark it, give an estimate,
6 100 feet.

7 Q. So about 100 feet? About 33 yards or so?

8 A. Roughly. That's an estimate.

9 Q. Now, as you walked along -- by the way, how tall was the
10 vegetation that was between the fence line and the sixth hole?
11 How high were those -- was that vegetation?

12 A. It was different at different points along the fence line.
13 Some were head height, some were a little lower, some were tall
14 like trees, I believe, from my recollection. But at that one
15 point there was an opening.

16 Q. And as you walked 10, 15 yards or so to the east of that
17 opening and 10 to 15 yards or so to the south -- I'm sorry, to
18 the east of that opening, were there any other openings like
19 that anywhere in that area that you saw?

20 A. Generally speaking, it was mostly blocked. It would have
21 been a blocked view going that way, going each way 15 or
22 20 feet, for the most part.

23 Q. Just so that the judge has a clear understanding, there is
24 also heavy vegetation on the opposite side of the fence, the
25 side that Mr. Routh was allegedly perched; is that right?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. Are you familiar with the area along Southern Boulevard
3 looking towards Trump International?

4 A. Summit Boulevard?

5 Q. Summit Boulevard.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. Is the fence line where Mr. Routh was allegedly
8 perched, can that be seen -- the fence itself, can that be seen
9 from Summit Boulevard, or does the vegetation block one from
10 viewing that fence?

11 A. Generally speaking, most of it is blocked. You won't be
12 able to see a fence from the street.

13 Q. Defense counsel asked you about Mr. Routh's -- whether you
14 had uncovered any evidence that Mr. Routh has any firearm
15 certifications.

16 Do you recall that line of inquiry?

17 A. I do.

18 Q. I believe she also indicated, or she was questioning you
19 about whether he had any license of any kind?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. In your experience as a law enforcement officer and in your
22 training with firearms, does a person need firearms
23 certification to know how to pull a trigger?

24 A. No, they do not.

25 Q. You mentioned that when the Secret Service agent first saw

1 the partial face of the individual on the fence line, there was
2 an initial greeting, I believe you described; is that correct?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. When did that initial greeting occur in relation to when
5 the Secret Service agent reported seeing the rifle sticking
6 through the fence?

7 A. I am not sure on a time frame. The sequence of events,
8 though, from my understanding, is the Service agent identifies
9 an individual and then after the greeting then notices that
10 there is a rifle, or a barrel sticking through the fence.

11 Q. Did the Secret Service agent report whether once he saw the
12 firearm sticking through the fence, was there any more verbal
13 exchange between him and the individual on the other end of
14 that weapon?

15 A. Not that I am aware of.

16 Q. Now, you were also asked numerous questions about
17 Mr. Routh's social media accounts.

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. And I believe she had also questioned you about details
20 regarding the ballistic tests of the plates that proved to be
21 impenetrable by firearms used by the Secret Service.

22 Do you recall that?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. Now, were you present during the ballistics testing?

25 A. I was not.

1 Q. So are you able to testify as to the details of how that
2 testing was done?

3 A. No, I am not.

4 Q. With respect to the social media accounts, you are able to
5 access publicly-available records on an individual's social
6 media account, are you not?

7 A. I would be able to, yes.

8 Q. Were efforts done in this case to do that?

9 A. There was.

10 Q. However, in your experience as a law enforcement officer,
11 social media accounts also contain a wealth of information that
12 is not necessarily available to the general public; is that
13 right?

14 A. Typically speaking, yes.

15 Q. And is law enforcement in the process of trying to obtain
16 social media accounts that belong to Mr. Routh?

17 A. Yes, they are.

18 MR. DISPOTO: One moment, your Honor.

19 BY MR. DISPOTO:

20 Q. Just a few more questions, agent.

21 You were asked -- the government had proffered
22 initially, and you were asked on cross-examination, about how
23 long Mr. Routh was located in South Florida prior to
24 September 15th and what his reasoning for being here might have
25 been.

Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. As part of your investigation, were you able to uncover any information as to what Mr. Routh does for a living?

A. It's my understanding that he had done some work either on roofing or houses or tiny houses in the past.

Q. And in your extensive investigation in this case, has any one of your fellow law enforcement officers who is a member of this investigation team uncovered any information that Mr. Routh was located here in South Florida during the month preceding his arrest on September 15th for work purposes?

A. I am not aware of any work purposes in the last month.

Q. Are you aware as to whether Mr. Routh has any family that lives here in South Florida?

A. I do not believe so.

Q. You are aware, though, that he does have family that lives outside the State of Florida, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you received any information as to whether Mr. Routh during the preceding 30 months of being here in South Florida was here for vacation purposes?

A. In the past how long?

Q. Thirty days.

A. I am not aware of him being here for vacation purposes.

Q. Now, you have also been questioned about cell site records;

1 is that correct?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And am I accurate in saying that cell site analysis from
4 phone records that are provided by phone companies, those are
5 conducted by law enforcement agents who are trained to analyze
6 those records, correct?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. And typically agents who are so trained memorialize what
9 the records show in maps that show times and locations as to
10 where a particular cellular device is located on certain dates;
11 is that correct?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. And is there a process by which those documents or those
14 maps are not only prepared but ultimately reviewed and
15 finalized before being released to the parties?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. And is it your understanding that those maps in this
18 particular case are still being prepared and finalized?

19 A. That's my understanding. I am not aware of any finalized
20 reports.

21 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review those maps prior to
22 coming into court?

23 A. There's no finalized maps.

24 Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review any drafts or
25 preliminary reporting regarding the location of Mr. Routh's

1 cell phones that was found from his vehicle?

2 A. Yes. To an extent, yes.

3 Q. And I believe you told us that, and the government had
4 proffered, that those cell site records put him in the close
5 proximity to at least two places, Trump International Golf
6 Course and Mar-a-Lago, correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Now, the Mar-a-Lago location, I believe you testified that
9 it could have only been one time.

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Do you recall when in relation to September 15th that those
12 records show that Mr. Routh's cell phone was located in the
13 area of Mar-a-Lago? Would it have been the day of his arrest
14 or sometime before, if you know?

15 A. It would have been sometime before, but I am not sure of
16 the date on that.

17 Q. Okay. Would it have been days, weeks, or a month, or do
18 you not know?

19 A. I do not know.

20 Q. Okay. With respect to Mar-a-Lago -- I'm sorry. Excuse me.
21 With respect to Trump International Golf Club, am I
22 accurate that there are multiple cell towers that are located
23 around the golf course that could be accessed by individuals
24 using their phones in those areas, correct?

25 A. I am not sure where the towers are, but I know that there's

1 towers in the region that it would have pinged off of.

2 Q. And do you know if Mr. Routh's phone accessed multiple
3 towers in the area of Trump International in the days and weeks
4 leading up to his arrest on September 15?

5 A. We have multiple data locations in the weeks and days
6 leading up to the incident, yes.

7 Q. And have you uncovered any information as to a purpose for
8 Mr. Routh to have been at that location other than with the
9 intent to assassinate the former President?

10 A. I am not aware of any other.

11 Q. Thank you very much.

12 MR. DISPOTO: Your Honor, that's all the questions I
13 have.

14 THE COURT: Thank you, agent. You can step down.

15 (Witness excused)

16 THE COURT: At this time I had previously admitted
17 Government's Exhibits 1 through 7 on a preliminary basis. So I
18 will go ahead and admit them at this time permanently.

19 (Government Exhibits 1 through 7 received in
20 evidence.)

21 THE COURT: Government, do you have anything else in
22 the way of evidence other than argument?

23 MR. DISPOTO: One moment, your Honor.

24 We have no further evidence, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Defense, do you have any evidence other

1 than argument?

2 MS. MILITELLO: Yes, your Honor. We would like to
3 proffer some of the statements from Mr. Routh's book, if I can
4 do that.

5 THE COURT: Sure. Please.

6 MS. MILITELLO: I have just my notes, but if the court
7 would accept the proffer from the same area of the book with
8 the quote that the government relied on in their proffer about
9 Routh writing he is taking blame for electing former President
10 Trump, but that he's "man enough to say that I misjudged and
11 made a terrible mistake."

12 In that same section in the book, he references
13 January 6th of 2001 and calls the Capitol insurrection a
14 "catastrophe perpetrated by Donald Trump and his undemocratic
15 posse."

16 He later wrote:

17 "Shall we let Taiwan fall? We should encircle Taiwan
18 with military ships in support with military might. If we
19 wait, such as we have done in Ukraine, it will be a ten-minute
20 war, and we will all be standing around like fools yet again."

21 In a separate section related to Ukraine he wrote:

22 "I presume that I must be clear, that while on the
23 current path Ukraine will not win, it is imperative for the
24 world that they do not win" -- I'm sorry, "that they do win,
25 and that is why this book is so important, for us all to

1 recognize that losing is not an option and what we must do to
2 win. I obviously did not write this book to make any money, as
3 that is totally unimportant; the extremely important issue that
4 we openly discuss daily the major issues that face Ukraine and
5 what we each must try to do to fix them. This is a conflict of
6 global importance and the outcome will affect us each and every
7 one for the rest of the world.

8 "It is tragic that we are too immature as leaders to
9 be modest and humble and bend over backwards to forge
10 relationships. If the world's leaders" -- I'm sorry. "If we
11 are the world's leaders, we must be able to set the example of
12 how unselfishness and kindness and caring and be able to sit in
13 the dirt floor with the most common struggling souls among us
14 and share their pain and try to make their lives better."

15 That was the portion that Ms. Sihvola referenced that
16 we will proffer for the court.

17 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

18 MS. MILITELLO: We would also at this time admit
19 Defense Exhibit 1, which is a news article, which under the
20 rules would self-authenticate.

21 THE COURT: Government, any objection to this?

22 MR. DISPOTO: No objection.

23 THE COURT: Defense Exhibit 1 will be admitted.

24 (Defense Exhibit 1 received in evidence.)

25 MS. MILITELLO: I think I provided the court with my

1 unstapled copy, so bear with me.

2 THE COURT: That's fine. Do you need it back?

3 MS. MILITELLO: The defense exhibit is from August 26,
4 1991, and it's a Greensboro news article related to an award
5 Mr. Routh won from the local police department where he fought
6 and chased a suspected rapist, later assisted police in their
7 investigation, and then was awarded a "Super Citizen award."

8 The article goes into detail about the physical fight
9 that he engaged in to restrain the suspect, and then also how
10 he later assisted the police in investigating their crime.

11 It's on page 2 of the filing.

12 At the bottom of the page I'd direct the court to the
13 quote from law enforcement that "it's rare that you find a
14 citizen that will go above and beyond to help police in
15 stopping the crime or the investigation of the crime."

16 This is the third page of the news report. I direct
17 the court to the end where law enforcement said, "Frazier said
18 most citizens don't cooperate as well as Routh."

19 And the last page of the exhibit is a copy of the
20 original newspaper article, along with a photo. It's difficult
21 to read, which is why we provided the print in a different
22 format.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 MS. MILITELLO: We have argument, but those are the
25 only exhibits and proffer that we have at this time.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Let's proceed to argument.

Government, you can go first.

MR. DISPOTO: Thank you, your Honor.

Your Honor, the government submits that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that will assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community.

We begin, Judge, by citing the following factors set forth in the Bail Reform Act at Section 3142(g).

First, the nature and circumstances of the offense charged.

Judge, while the defendant here has been charged with a firearms offense, which Congress has recognized in the Bail Reform Act is a factor to be considered relative to the crime charged and the seriousness of the crime charged, we would also note that the nature and circumstances of this offense that surrounds the charged crimes includes facts that show probable cause to believe that the defendant also attempted to assassinate the former President.

With respect to the weight of that evidence, I would note, while the Eleventh Circuit has certainly recognized that weight of the evidence is probably the least weighty factor, because this is not a trial and there will be another time for a complete vetting of all the evidence, the evidence in this

1 case that has been demonstrated through the government's
2 proffer is substantial.

3 The defendant's fingerprints is located on the tape
4 which fasten the scope to the firearm. There are two witnesses
5 that put the defendant at the scene.

6 The photograph that your Honor saw in
7 Government Exhibit 2 clearly shows what I referred to before,
8 and the government maintains, is nothing short of a sniper's
9 nest where he set up two impenetrable ballistic plates close in
10 proximity to each other, low to the ground for him to use as
11 protection.

12 That is substantial evidence of his intent to pull the
13 trigger and to fire at the former President because he's
14 clearly taking precautions for return fire and for his own
15 protection.

16 The defendant fled the scene.

17 Cell site records demonstrate that Mr. Routh, who has
18 no ties here to Southern District of Florida, was here for
19 approximately one month prior to this incident and that through
20 the usage of his cell phones put him in the area of Trump
21 International as well as Mar-a-Lago during that period of time.

22 And finally, Judge, and probably most persuasively,
23 are his own writings.

24 Let me be clear, Judge. The defendant has not been
25 charged in this case based on his dislike for President Trump

1 or his ideologies. He has been charged because of his conduct;
2 specifically, the conduct that took place on September 15.

3 When you write a letter to the world referencing an
4 assassination attempt four months prior to -- or several
5 months, I should say, prior to when you are actually caught
6 perched outside of Mr. Trump's golf course with a loaded
7 firearm, I would submit to your Honor is substantial evidence
8 of his intent.

9 Whether he ever intended or not to offer or to pay
10 \$150,000 to anyone who could finish the job, what's significant
11 is the fact that that's what he wrote, and that's the message
12 that he wanted to send to the world in advance of this
13 incident.

14 Your Honor, in addition to the nature and
15 circumstances of the offense charged, as well as the weight of
16 the evidence, I would point to the defendant's history and
17 characteristics; specifically, his prior criminal history.

18 According to the Pretrial Services report, the
19 defendant has an extensive criminal history.

20 In 2002, at the age of 36, he was convicted of
21 possessing a weapon of mass destruction in North Carolina.

22 THE COURT: What was the weapon of mass destruction?

23 MR. DISPOTO: That's what I was getting to, Judge.

24 If you look at Government Exhibit 7, specifically -- I
25 know these documents are not paginated, but if you go to page--

1 sorry, page 27, there is the actual indictment on the
2 possession of mass destruction charge.

3 Now, the indictment alleges -- does your Honor want me
4 to wait until you find it?

5 THE COURT: No. Go ahead.

6 MR. DISPOTO: The indictment alleges that the
7 defendant willfully and feloniously did have and possess a
8 weapon of mass death and destruction; specifically, a binary
9 explosive device with a detonation cord and a blasting cap.

10 So that was the original indictment that was charged
11 in this case.

12 The defendant was ultimately convicted after a trial,
13 and he was sentenced to 15 to 19 months, with 60 months'
14 probation to follow.

15 The records also indicate that the defendant violated
16 his bond and his probationary conditions. And you can find
17 that information on pages 1 and 4 and 24 to 25 of
18 Government Exhibit 7, and that he committed offenses while on
19 pretrial release for a different crime. That's on page 32.

20 So the defendant has demonstrated in his past to be
21 committing -- not only committing substantial dangerous crimes
22 of violence, but also committing crimes while on court-ordered
23 supervision.

24 He's been convicted three times of possessing
25 worthless checks in 2002; three times for carrying a concealed

1 firearm in 2002; he's been convicted of identity card fraud and
2 resisting arrest in 2003; four counts of possession of stolen
3 goods in 2010; and 13 times he was convicted of driving with a
4 suspended license.

5 So clearly, Judge, this criminal history demonstrates
6 by themselves that this defendant is a recidivist and that he
7 has a dangerous past.

8 I would note that there does seem to be a pretty
9 substantial gap in his criminal history where, I believe, for
10 the past many years he has not been convicted of any offenses,
11 but that history, that, by the way, dates back to when
12 Mr. Routh received his accolades in 1991 for doing a good deed,
13 as the defense articulated for the court.

14 Furthermore, Judge, I would cite for the court's
15 reference that Mr. Routh has no community ties. He doesn't
16 have any employment here. He doesn't have any family here. He
17 owns no property here. He has no resources or assets that he
18 has reported to Pretrial Services.

19 He has ties to foreign countries. By his own
20 admission to Pretrial Services he's been to Ukraine, which I
21 know the court is well aware is currently a war zone, and he
22 has also been to Taiwan. He has demonstrated means to travel
23 internationally.

24 He does have some mental health issues, which is set
25 forth in a prior adjudication, where he was ordered as a

1 condition of probation to undergo at least a mental health
2 evaluation.

3 *Lastly, Judge,* the nature and the seriousness of the
4 danger to any person and to the community if released is
5 substantial, at the very least.

6 Your Honor, this is a high-powered rifle that the
7 defendant was in possession of on the date of his arrest. With
8 the use of a scope and the estimated distance that the witness
9 gave with respect to the sixth hole on Trump International Golf
10 Course, this was an easy shot.

11 Former President Trump was on the fifth hole. He was
12 approximately 12 to 15 minutes away from arriving at the
13 putting green on the sixth hole. And as Agent Hull testified,
14 there was no obstructions to Mr. Routh's sniper's nest had he
15 pulled that trigger and shot at the former President once he
16 arrived on the green. And with the use of the scope, I would
17 submit to the court that we can all imagine with that caliber
18 firearm how easy of a shot that that would have been.

19 The defendant has numerous prior convictions for
20 weapons offenses. The facts as set forth in the government's
21 proffer clearly demonstrate a month-long plan to assassinate
22 the former President. His own letters indicate clearly his
23 intent, as well as his efforts to get other people to carry out
24 his plan if in the event he gets caught.

25 Now that the letter that he gave to a civilian witness

1 in the box that the court has heard about, now that that letter
2 is out and by virtue of these proceedings, the defendant knows
3 that law enforcement now knows about that letter, I would
4 submit to the court that the civilian witness who turned over
5 that letter to law enforcement, who the defendant very well
6 knows who it is, is also at risk of retaliation if the
7 defendant was released.

8 The defendant has a history of ignoring law, and quite
9 frankly, Judge, it appears from all of the facts that have been
10 presented to the court that no conditions or combination of
11 conditions that this court could set would reasonably assure
12 his appearance as required and the safety of any person and the
13 community.

14 Based upon all the information that's been presented,
15 your Honor, the government asks that the defendant be held in
16 detention pending the resolution of these charges.

17 Thank you, Judge.

18 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

19 Defense.

20 MS. MILITELLO: As the court knows, in 1987, when the
21 U.S. Supreme Court reviewed the validity of the Bail Reform
22 Act, the court held that in our society liberty is the norm,
23 and detention prior to trial is the carefully limited
24 exception.

25 The charges before the court are charges without a

1 presumption of detention. The government has not argued for a
2 presumption. One does not apply.

3 While they are arguing that this case does involve
4 allegations outside of the complaint, I would remind the court
5 that no probable cause finding has yet been made. We do not
6 have an indictment from a grand jury. The court has not
7 formally made a probable cause finding at this time.

8 But even if the court found that probable cause
9 existed for the more serious charges discussed, the case law is
10 clear that danger in the instant offense does not create a
11 danger to the community *per se* or automatically. Instead, the
12 government must actually show a danger to the community, and
13 here they failed to do that.

14 There is no evidence that Mr. Routh is a danger to the
15 witness that they have mentioned. If anything, his history of
16 arrests and then complying with court orders shows otherwise.

17 Mr. Routh has over 100 arrests as an adult. Of those
18 100 arrests, more than 70 are for traffic offenses, like
19 speeding, driving without a license. There is a handful that
20 they mentioned for things like worthless checks. And then
21 there's the two, of course, that are more serious, one being
22 stolen sinks, I think related to construction, and then the one
23 from 22 years ago involving some sort of explosive device.

24 But when you go through the pages and pages of
25 criminal history, what we find is only one failure to appear.

1 Mr. Routh appears for court, and Mr. Routh follows orders of
2 the court.

3 Mr. Routh, when looking at the 3142(g) factors and his
4 history, personal history and characteristics, the court will
5 find that he spent the better half of the last decade as a
6 law-abiding citizen, dedicated to making the world a better
7 place, working and providing for his family. His children are
8 now adults, but he was supportive and living with his partner
9 and in contact with his two adult children in Hawaii the last
10 eight years. Prior to that, he lived several decades in North
11 Carolina. And so while he may not have community ties here, he
12 has extensive community ties to his communities in North
13 Carolina and in Hawaii.

14 He's a man who left his partner, his adult children
15 and family to fly across the world to Ukraine. Part of that is
16 explained in the Pretrial Services report. I know the agent
17 didn't know much of the details, but it's clear that he at
18 least intended to assist the war effort and to assist
19 Ukrainians in fighting for democracy. He then, or perhaps the
20 timeline is a little unclear, flew to Taiwan in an effort to
21 help that country maintain their sovereignty.

22 He's a man who has volunteered his services with
23 Homemade Hawaii, which is a nonprofit helping homeless persons.
24 There is a record from our contacts with the family of him
25 using his construction to help communities, whether it's park

1 projects or building a dock in Hawaii, like a boat dock.

2 One family member described him to us as someone who
3 would give you the shirt off his back if you needed it. Of
4 course, the court saw Defense Exhibit 1 from the early '90s
5 where he was hailed as a super citizen, if not a superhero.

6 His three adult children still love and support him
7 even after this arrest. And along with his brother and sister,
8 he has a number of other family members and friends who have
9 reached out to us and are continuing to show their support.

10 We did not ask those individuals to come today because
11 of the media and the press. These individuals have essentially
12 cut themselves off from the world. Media has appeared at their
13 houses, at their homes, at their vehicles. They have received
14 spoof phone calls. They have received threats.

15 If the court is willing to adopt our bond proposal, we
16 can have them available to testify by phone. But that's why I
17 am not going to be too detailed with their names or who they
18 are at this time.

19 THE COURT: I understand.

20 MS. MILITELLO: So while we agree the government's
21 permitted to seek detention based on risk of flight and
22 possession of the firearm, there is no presumption.

23 When we look at the criminal history, as I mentioned,
24 the most recent arrest is ten years ago, 2014, and all of the
25 rest, there's no history of violence, there is no history of

1 firearm convictions. Many of the offenses related to speeding
2 are not criminal offenses in the State of Florida.

3 Importantly, when looking at the 3142(g) factors,
4 Mr. Routh was not on supervision, or violating bond, or any
5 other community sanction. That's a separate subsection the
6 court is to look to when determining whether bond is
7 appropriate, and that does not apply here.

8 As it relates to facts about this case, as the court
9 heard, of course no shots were fired. There is no direct
10 evidence that Mr. Routh ever actually touched the firearm.
11 There is evidence that it moved, but it's unclear how it moved,
12 if the individual that was seen may have touched the firearm
13 with his foot, with the bag, with the sausages. We don't know.

14 Certainly what was left at the scene is the
15 unsophisticated and sort of untrained behavior of an
16 individual. We have a rifle with duct tape or electrical tape.
17 It's been outfitted with a scope. While the government has
18 sort of made these grandiose statements about it looking like a
19 sniper nest or something you'd see in the movies, I would
20 submit to the court we don't typically see duct tape and
21 electrical tape used on old guns in the movies, nor do we
22 typically see movies where snipers are resting their firearms
23 on the ground. And that is what is before the court here
24 today.

25 Now, the letter that's been provided to the court of

1 course does not contain a signature. It doesn't appear to
2 contain Mr. Routh's name. No handwriting analysis has been
3 conducted at this time. As Ms. Sihvola mentioned in her cross
4 several times, it seems to indicate multiple times an intent to
5 fail. In other words, we don't know that there's actually an
6 intent to assassinate the former President. Perhaps this is
7 more of a publicity or stunt than anything.

8 And I direct the court to the portion where the letter
9 states: "I hope that at least my failed attempt may at least
10 make a few people stop and think for a moment how wonderful
11 freedom and democracy is."

12 Mr. Routh was cooperative with law enforcement in this
13 case. He followed all of their commands. He did not resist.
14 He was not violent with them. He did not flee from law
15 enforcement. In Martin County, he did not put anyone in danger
16 on the highway. And that is consistent with his lengthy
17 criminal history, where we do not have any sort of violence
18 with law enforcement. We don't have any sort of resisting or
19 failing to appear for court.

20 Our bond proposal is a \$250,000 personal surety bond,
21 cosigned by his sister, who is an attorney licensed, admitted,
22 and in good standing in the State of North Carolina, and then
23 also cosigned by one of his adult children in Hawaii, who also
24 is gainfully employed.

25 The sister is willing to house Mr. Routh at her place

1 of residence. She also owns a secondary property, but for now
2 I think the most proper place would be her residence, on a GPS
3 monitor.

4 The court could put him on home confinement, order a
5 mental health evaluation, and any recommended treatment. In
6 addition to what might be available through Pretrial Services,
7 the sister is willing to look at private inpatient options or
8 private outpatient options and pay for that herself.

9 I'd remind the court, of course, that Mr. Routh's
10 passport and his driver's license, which are in his name, not
11 in any fake name or false name used to evade law enforcement,
12 but those properly-issued documents are now in the possession
13 of the United States government, which would make both
14 interstate or international travel difficult, if not
15 impossible, particularly from North Carolina, which does not
16 border any other country or the ocean.

17 These strict conditions with reputable family members
18 would mitigate any risk that might otherwise be present in this
19 case and we'd submit to the court would allow the court to
20 release Mr. Routh on the least restrictive conditions available
21 and would "reasonably assure his appearance in court and the
22 safety of the community."

23 The Bail Reform Act does not require a guaranty, and
24 we think these conditions are sufficient.

25 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Thank you for the

1 outstanding presentations by both sides in this case.

2 I am going to grant the government's motion for
3 pretrial detention. I find that the government has met its
4 burden of proving that there are no conditions or combinations
5 of conditions that will reasonably assure the appearance of
6 this defendant as required and as well as the safety of the
7 community.

8 I will enter a written order that details my findings,
9 but in summary, I find that the weight of the evidence against
10 the defendant is strong as to the charged offenses. In
11 particular, there was eyewitness testimony that placed him near
12 the scene of the rifle in question. Also, there's a
13 preliminary fingerprint of Mr. Routh on the rifle in question.

14 If convicted on those offenses, he faces a substantial
15 period of incarceration, of course giving him an incentive to
16 flee.

17 The government has also proffered a great deal of
18 evidence regarding additional offenses which carry even greater
19 penalties, giving him an even greater incentive to flee.

20 I am persuaded by the fact that at the time of his
21 arrest he had his passport with him and a cell phone apparently
22 that had a Google search regarding how to leave Palm Beach, and
23 Miami as well, and go to Mexico.

24 The defendant also has, as reflected in the Pretrial
25 Services report, recent travel to the Ukraine and Taiwan,

1 which, as the government pointed out, shows skill in crossing
2 borders and, again, I think creates a risk that he will not
3 appear.

4 As to the danger to the community, I find that the
5 charged offenses itself poses a risk of danger based on the
6 nature of the firearm, but, more significantly, this
7 defendant's past history also poses a danger, particularly the
8 past conviction of possession of a weapon of mass destruction,
9 which apparently was some type of bomb.

10 Also, of course, I find very significant the
11 substantial evidence that the government has proffered here
12 today regarding this defendant's apparent efforts to stalk
13 former President Donald Trump over a 30-day period in an
14 attempt to assassinate him.

15 That evidence includes cell phone location data, a
16 notebook indicating the former President's locations, as well,
17 of course, as the incident itself involving this rifle, not to
18 mention a handwritten note recovered from a box that Mr. Routh
19 provided to somebody in North Carolina that states this was an
20 assassination attempt on Donald Trump.

21 So for all of these reasons I find the government has
22 met its burden necessary for me to grant this motion for
23 pretrial detention.

24 Government, is there anything else?

25 MR. DISPOTO: No, your Honor. Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Defense, recognizing that you disagree
2 with my ruling, is there anything else we need to cover?

3 MS. MILITELLO: Not at this time.

4 THE COURT: Stephanie, do we need to cover anything
5 procedurally?

6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: No, Judge.

7 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. We will be in
8 recess.

9 (Proceedings adjourned at 1:56 p.m.)

10 C E R T I F I C A T E

11 I hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate
12 transcription of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

14 September 23, 2024

/s/ Jill M. Wells
Jill M. Wells, RMR, CRR, CSR
Federal Official Court Reporter
701 Clematis Street
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
jill_wells@flsd.uscourts.gov